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## Minutes of a

# MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16 

Greenview Administration Building [and Zoom]

Valleyview, Alberta, on Wednesday, March 13, 2024

| \#1 <br> CALL TO ORDER | Chair Ryan Ratzlaff called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRESENT | Chair | Ryan Ratzlaff |
|  | Vice-Chair | Sally Rosson |
|  | Member | Christine Schlief |
|  | Member | Jennifer Scott |
|  | Member | Bill Smith (Zoom) |
|  | Member | Winston Delorme (Zoom 9:02) |
|  | Member | Tyler Olsen |
|  | Member | Dale Smith |
|  | Member | Dave Berry |
|  | Member | Marko Hackenberg |
| ATtending | CAO | Stacey Wabick |
|  | Director, Planning \& Economic Development | Martino Verhaeghe |
|  | Director, Infrastructure \& Engineering | Roger Autio |
|  | Development Officer | Nicole Friesen |
|  | Development Officer | Alysse Barks |
|  | Development Officer | Celine Chuppa |
|  | Recording Secretary | Nancy Harris |
| ABSENT | Member | Tom Burton |
| GUESTS |  | Brandon Nieuwenhuis (D24-020) |
|  |  | Mitch Rawlyk (D24-032) |
|  |  | Erich Eckstein (D24-032) |

\#2
AGENDA

MOTION: 24.03.12 Moved by: Member Christine Schlief That the March 13, 2024, agenda be adopted as presented.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

CARRIED

## \#3

MINUTES

### 3.1 MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

MOTION: 24.03.13 Moved by: Vice Chair Sally Rosson
That the minutes of the Municipal Planning Commission regular meeting held on February 14, 2024, be adopted as presented.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Tom Burton, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith

CARRIED

### 3.2 BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES

NONE

## \#4

SUBDIVISIONS
\#5
DEVELOPMENT PERMITS

NONE

### 5.1 D24-018 / R360 ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS CANADA/ DISCRETIONARY

 USE - LANDFILL, INDUSTRIAL EXPANSION / NE 24-69-06-W6M / GROVEDALE AREADevelopment Officer - Crown lands Celine Chuppa presented an overview of a development permit application for Discretionary Use - Landfill, Industrial Expansion within NE 24-69-06-W6M. The property was zoned Crown Land (CL) District.

MOTION: 24.03.14 Moved by: Member Dale Smith That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE Development Permit application D24-018 - Landfill, Industrial - Expansion, subject to the following:

## Conditions:

1. The applicant must obtain approval from Alberta Environment and Protected Areas (AEPA) prior to construction or commencement of any development. Copies of such approvals are to be provided to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16. And the developer must adhere to all conditions as set by AEPA Approval \#239576-01-00.
2. A permit from Alberta Safety Codes Authority is required for all fuel tanks located on the site and must be submitted to Greenview. Copies of such approvals are to be provided to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16.

## Standards:

1. Stripping of vegetation or grading shall be done in a manner which will minimize soil erosion by ensuring the extent of the disturbed area and the duration of exposure is minimal.
2. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.
3. All development(s) must be designed to ensure the stormwater runoff to adjacent lands or watercourses does not exceed pre-development flows.
4. Deleterious materials must not be allowed to enter any watercourse.
5. Development(s) shall not adversely affect groundwater resources or disturb natural drainage patterns or watercourses unless such measures are necessary to serve a proposed development and receive approval from Alberta Environment and Protected Areas (AEPA).

## Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. This development permit is valid upon the decision being advertised in accordance with Greenview's Advertising Bylaw and no appeal against said decision being successful.
4. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing and Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

### 5.2 D24-020 / NIEUWENHUIS / PERMITTED USE IN A-1 - ACCESSORY BUILDING IN FRONT YARD AND DUGOUT / NE 19-71-22-W5M / NEW FISH CREEK AREA

Development Officer Alysse Barks presented an overview of a development permit application for Permitted Use - Accessory Building in Front Yard and Dugout within NE 19-71-22-W5M. The property was zoned Agricultural One (A-1) District.

That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-020 for an Accessory Building and the Dugout on NE-19-71-22-W5M subject to the following:

## Conditions:

1. That a variance is granted to Land Use Bylaw 18-800 Section 5.22.1.e) allowing the Accessory Building to be located within the Yard, Front.
2. The Accessory Building shall be located no closer than $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft})$ from the Dwelling or another Accessory Building.

## Standards:

1. Stripping of vegetation or grading shall be done in a manner which will minimize soil erosion by ensuring the extent of the disturbed area and the duration of exposure is minimal.
2. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.
3. Deleterious materials must not be allowed to enter any watercourse.
4. The Dugout shall not adversely affect groundwater resources or disturb natural drainage patterns or watercourses unless such measures are approved by Alberta Forestry, Parks, and Tourism.

## Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing, Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta.
4. The exterior of the building shall be completed within twenty-four (24) months from the issuance of the development permit. If the development authorized by a Development Permit is not completed with in twenty-four (24) months from the effective date of the permit, such permit approval ceases and the permit itself is deemed void, expired, and without effect, unless an extension to this period has been previously granted.
5. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.
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For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

## CARRIED

### 5.3 D24-030 / REICHERT / PERMITTED USE IN A-1 - ACCESSORY BUILDING IN FRONT YARD / SE 20-71-22-W5M / NEW FISH CREEK AREA

Development Officer Nicole Friesen presented an overview of a development permit application for Permitted Use in A-1 - Accessory Building in Front Yard within SE 20-71-22-W5M. The property was zoned Agricultural One (A-1) District.

MOTION: 24.03.16 Moved by: Member Dale Smith
That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-030 for an Accessory Building, subject to the following:

## Conditions:

1. That a variance is granted to Land Use Bylaw 18-800 Section 5.22.1.e) allowing the Accessory Building to be located within the Yard, Front.
2. The Accessory Building shall be located no closer than $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft})$ from the Dwelling or another Accessory Building.

## Standards:

1. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524 .7602 for further information.
2. The use of the Accessory Building for business, industrial, and residential purposes is not permitted. The Accessory Building shall be used for personal use only.

## Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits
required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing, and Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta.
4. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

CARRIED

### 5.4 D24-031 / HOLLER / PERMITTED USE IN CR-3 - ACCESSORY BUILDING IN FRONT YARD / NE 33-70-24-W5M / STURGEON HEIGHTS AREA

Development Officer Alysse Barks presented an overview of a development permit application for Permitted Use in CR-3 - Accessory Building in Front Yard on Plan 8220383 Block 2 Lot 17 within NE 33-70-24-W5M. The property was zoned Country Residential Three (CR-3) District.

Chair Ryan Ratzlaff called a recess at 9:25 a.m. to confirm information.

Meeting reconvened at 9:30 a.m.

MOTION: 24.03.17 Moved by: Member Christine Schlief
That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-031 for an Accessory Building on Plan8220383 Block 2 Lot 17 subject to the following:

## Conditions:

1. That a variance is granted to Land Use Bylaw 18-800 Section 5.22.1.e) allowing the Accessory Building to be located within the Yard, Front.
2. The Accessory Building shall be located no closer than $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft})$ from the Dwelling or another Accessory Building.
3. The use of the accessory building for business, industrial, and residential purposes is not permitted. The accessory building shall be used for personal use only.
4. The applicant is required to tie into the existing holding tank or install a new holding tank for the proposed development. The holding tank must be installed in a location that is accessible for regular cleaning and maintenance and must meet the tank and installation must meet Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standards of Practice.

## Standards:

1. Any exterior lighting shall be positioned in such a manner that it does not cause a nuisance for surrounding landowners and/or interfere with the safe movement of traffic on nearby roads.
2. Stripping of vegetation or grading shall be done in a manner which will minimize soil erosion by ensuring the extent of the disturbed area and the duration of exposure is minimal.
3. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.
4. Development(s) shall not adversely affect groundwater resources or disturb natural drainage patterns or watercourses unless such measures are necessary to serve a proposed development and receive approval from Alberta Forestry, Parks, and Tourism.
5. Deleterious materials must not be allowed to enter any watercourse.
6. All development(s) must be designed to ensure the stormwater runoff to adjacent lands or watercourses does not exceed pre-development flows.

## Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing, Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta.
4. The exterior of the building shall be completed within twenty-four (24) months from the issuance of the development permit. If the development authorized by a Development Permit is not completed with in twenty-four (24) months from the effective date of the permit, such permit approval ceases and the permit itself is deemed void, expired, and without effect, unless an extension to this period has been previously granted.
5. No storage or activity may be undertaken that would in the opinion of the Development Authority unduly interfere with the amenities of the district or materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment, or value of neighboring properties, by reason of excessive noise, smoke, steam, odor, glare, dust, vibration, refuse matter, or other noxious emissions or containment of hazardous materials.
6. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

CARRIED

### 5.5 D24-032 / RAWLYK / DISCRETIONARY USE - ABATTOIR IN EXISTING ACCESSORY BUILDING / NE 30-69-6-W6M/ GROVEDALE AREA

Development Officer Nicole Friesen presented an overview of a development permit application For Discretionary Use - Abattoir in Existing Accessory Building within NE 30-69-6-W6M. The property was zoned Agricultural One (A-1) District.

MOTION: 24.03.18 Moved by: Vice Chair Sally Rosson
That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-032 for an Abattoir, subject to the following:

## Conditions:

1. The applicant must obtain a Mobile Butcher Facility Licence from the Province of Alberta and provide a copy to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16.
2. No offensive noise or odour shall be produced by the business that would affect the privacy and enjoyment of adjacent residences.
3. The Abattoir shall be operated solely within the Accessory Building.
4. Any outdoor storage or storage of waste associated with the Abattoir shall be bear proofed and screened from view from the neighbouring parcels and roadways.

## Standards:

1. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.
2. Any outdoor storage or storage of waste associated with the Abattoir shall be in bear proof as follows:
a. Lids and doors recessed, self-closing and tight fitting to prevent odours from escaping.
b. Latches on all lids and doors must be bear-resistant (i.e., bear's claws unable to reach the latch trigger mechanism).
c. Hinges and latches for lids must be sufficiently strong such that they cannot be pried open by claws (able to withstand several thousands of pounds of force). The rule of thumb is that if it can be dismantled using a crowbar then it is not bear-resistant.
d. If the container is not able to be stored indoors, it must be sufficiently stable or capable of being anchored to a solid, stationary base to prevent tipping by large bears.
e. Container material must be sufficiently strong to prevent bears from chewing, battering or crushing the container.

## Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. The meat processing facility is subject to the Meat Inspection Act and Meat Inspection Regulation and shall at all times comply with the regulations and requirements therein.
3. The abattoir is subject to the Disposal of Dead Animals Regulation, Animal Health Act, and Health of Animals Act (Canada) and shall at all times comply with the regulations and requirements therein.
4. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
5. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing, and Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta.
6. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

## \#6

NEXT MEETING
\#7
ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: 24.03.19 Moved by: Member Jennifer Scott That the meeting be adjourned at 9:49 a.m.

For: Chair Ryan Ratzlaff, Vice-Chair Sally Rosson, Member Christine Schlief, Member Jennifer Scott, Member Bill Smith, Member Dave Berry, Member Winston Delorme, Member Tyler Olsen, Member Dale Smith, Member Marko Hackenberg

CARRIED

CHAIR RYAN RATZLAFF

DIRECTOR, PLANNING \& ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MARTINO VERHAEGHE

## REQUEST FOR DECISION

| SUBJECT: | S24-001 One Lot Subdivision in DC-FMBP |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| SUBMISSION TO: | MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION | REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION |  |
| MEETING DATE: | April 10, 2024 | DIRECTOR: | MAV |
| REPORT TYPE: | Subdivision Application | MANAGER: | WRITER: NF |

FILE NO.:
LEGAL LOCATION:
AREA:
APPLICANT/SURVEYOR:
LANDOWNER:

S24-001 LAND USE DISTRICT:
Plan 822 3273; 34; 6 and Adjacent Roads
Ward 9, Hamlet of Grande Cache
Scheffer Andrew Ltd.
Green Leaf Holdings Inc.

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Administration has received an application to subdivide a 1.04 ha ( 2.57 ac ) lot and associated road within the parcel legally described as Plan 822 3273, Block 34, Lot 6 and the adjacent undeveloped roads. The subject lands are located within the hamlet of Grande Cache, east of Highway 40 and north of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police detachment within the Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan (ASP).

The applicant is proposing to subdivide to develop a bulk fuel station on the resulting lot. A development permit application was submitted in February of 2023, however the applicants failed to submit all the requirements for administration to issue a decision, therefore the application was deemed refused. The subject area is currently cleared and without services, which will be addressed by the terms of a Development Agreement as a condition of subdivision approval. Following signing of the agreement and submission of the required securities, the applicants may proceed with re-applying for a development permit for the bulk fuel station. As the land is designated as a Direct Control (DC) district, the development permit application would be subject to Council approval and additional conditions may be added at that time to address any off-site impacts or site-specific concerns for the proposed use. The applicant will also be required to provide further information and detailed engineering drawings for water services, gravity sewer services, and stormwater management which will form part of the Development Agreement for the subdivision.

There is an above ground electrical distribution line within the existing road right-of-way and ATCO has already requested a Utility Right of Way agreement as part of the road closure bylaw. If the power line requires burying or relocation due to the new bulk fuel station, the costs will be the responsibility of the developer. Burying or relocation of the

There is currently no developed road or access to the proposed lot or parent parcel and the existing undeveloped roads are in the process of being closed by Road Closure Bylaw 24-956. Both Land Use Bylaw 799 and the Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan call for the closure and realignment of 99 Street and 105 Avenue. Registration of the road closure bylaw and construction of the realigned 99 Street are also addressed in the recommended conditions of approval.

Following circulation of the proposed subdivision, the Infrastructure \& Engineering department raised concerns about the width of the road and length of road to be constructed. Although the road right of way (ROW) width does not meet Greenview's Design Guidelines and Municipal Servicing Standards for 30.0 m , the department has agreed to lessen the standard to a 20.5 metre ROW with a 3.0 metre utility right of way (URW) adjacent the new road. The required changed to the subdivision plan have been included in the recommended conditions of approval.

Municipal Reserves are not required for this subdivision as they were previously deferred by the Town of Grande Cache to the remainder of Plan 6285NY; A (Grande Cache Settlement Plan).

## PROPERTY DETAILS:

| Proposed Servicing: | Municipal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Soil Type: | Clay |
| Topography: | Flat |
| Wetland Inventory: | None |
| LSRS Spring Grains: | N/A |

## RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

## Grande Cache Municipal Development Plan (MDP)

The MDP supports commercial and industrial development within the former Town and development and extension of municipal services through development agreements. Therefore, the proposed subdivision is supported.

## Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan (FMBP ASP)

## 3. DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT

a) Overview

The concept provides for about fifty lots in a variety of sizes to satisfy market demands and requirements of the Land Use By-Law. The lots will be accessed from a single road that leads from Shand Avenue into an internal loop enclosing a mix of Retail/Service Commercial lots. Provision of a road right-of-way to the north boundary of the plan area will allow for future road development, and an emergency road access will also be provided on the east side of the road loop. Around the outside of the loop, on the north and east sides of the plan area, adjacent to Highway 40, and those along the west side of the Shand Manufactured Home Community are proposed for Tourist/Highway Commercial oriented development to include hotel/motel, restaurants, and other highway related businesses. A statistical breakdown of the land uses is shown in Table 1.

The landowner plans to develop a bulk fuel station on the proposed lot; this use is consistent with highway commercial oriented development.

## 5. TRANSPORTATION

b) Internal Road System

The southeast portion of the plan area currently includes the 99th Street road allowance abutting the west boundary of the Shand Trailer Court. It is proposed that a portion of this road be closed and replaced by another road that deflects further west from the $99^{\text {th }}$ Street intersection as shown on Map 8.

Policy 6.5 Lot 6, Block 34, Plan 8223273 will remain within the C-2 Land Use District of the Land Use Bylaw. Further to the provisions of the C-2 District, the Town will ensure an elevated standard both with respect to landscaping standards and architectural appearance and achieve this through various mechanisms including the use of an overlay district and/or restrictive covenant. This will be addressed at the time of subdivision. Pedestrian connectivity for the C-2 District portion of the ASP in relation to the immediately adjacent residents as well as the retail and residential areas across Highway 40 shall also be addressed prior to any decisions being made at the subdivision level.

Section 4.6 of the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) prepared by the developer proposes that little to no pedestrian traffic is anticipated to be generated by the proposed bulk fuel station and pedestrian connections are therefore not necessary, however a sidewalk may be considered along the 99 Street extension.

Policy 6.16 Prior to any subdivision application submitted pursuant to this ASP being accepted by the Town as a completed application, the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) conducted in support of this ASP must be completed to the satisfaction of the Town as well as Alberta Transportation at the developer's sole cost.

The developer has prepared and submitted a TIA in support of the subdivision which is appended to this report. Alberta Transportation and Economic Corridors has review the TIA and reported no concerns.

## Land Use Bylaw 799

The application meets the requirements of the Land Use Bylaw as no specific lot sizes are established for the Direct Control - Floyd McLennan Business Park (DC-FMBP) district.

### 34.7. Other Provisions

1.2. The Subdivision Authority, in deciding upon subdivision applications, and the Town, in development agreements associated with subdivision approvals, shall ensure adequate pedestrian (non-motorized) connectivity so that the lands this land use district is applied to are well connected to the adjacent residential property and the commercial area across Highway 40.

Although the TIA does not anticipate additional pedestrian traffic from the proposed development, administration has included sidewalks and pedestrian connections be considered in the development agreement for construction by the developer.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

MOTION: That the Municipal Planning Commission for the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 APPROVE subdivision application S24-001 for the creation of a one (1) lot and road, totalling approximately 1.4 ha ( 3.46 ac ) from the parcel legally described as Plan 822 3273, Block 34, Lot 6 and the adjacent roadways, subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall submit to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 a Plan of Survey suitable for registration with Alberta Land Titles. The size and location of the subdivided parcel shall be as per the approved tentative plan, including road right of way widths of $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ metres.
2. The applicant shall submit to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 a Plan of Survey for a Utility Right of Way, 3.0 metres in width, adjacent to the proposed road, suitable for registration with Alberta Land Titles.
3. The applicant shall close those portions of road within Plan 69TR (105 Avenue) and Plan 0426473 (99 Street) adjacent to Plan 822 3273, Block 34, Lot 6. All costs associated with closure and consolidation of the roads shall be the responsibility of the applicant and the applicant shall pay all applicable fees in accordance with the Schedules of Fees Bylaw 24-970. Registration of the road closure shall occur concurrently with registration of the Plan of Survey for the subdivision.
4. The applicant shall pay a final subdivision endorsement fee in accordance with the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 Schedules of Fees Bylaw 24-970.
5. The applicant shall pay all taxes owing to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 up to the year in which the subdivision is to be registered prior to signing the final subdivision endorsement documents.
6. The applicant shall enter into and comply with the terms of a development agreement in accordance with the Municipal Government Act, s. 655 for provision of the following, as required:
a. Road access, extending to the north boundary of the subdivision and any temporary road extension, cul de sac, or turnaround;
b. Municipal water service and extensions;
c. Municipal sewer service and extensions;
d. Municipal storm sewer service and extensions;
e. Franchise utility services and any Utility Rights-of-Way (URWs);
f. Street lighting;
g. Street signage;
h. Sidewalks and pedestrian connections, if any; and
i. Performance securities.

The developer shall prepare engineered drawings in accordance with the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 Development Guidelines and Municipal Servicing Standards. The elements above, forming part of the development agreement shall be prepared by the developer, submitted to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 for review and approval, and constructed by the developer to the satisfaction of the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, in accordance with the terms of the development agreement. The development agreement shall be registered by caveat on the title of the subject lands pursuant to Section 655(2) of the Municipal Government Act. The Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 shall prepare the development agreement as per the Schedules of Fees Bylaw 24-970.

[^0]Alternative \#2: The Municipal Planning Commission may table the application to the next regular meeting or until additional information is received. Administration does not recommend this option as the applicant has provided all information required to provide a decision.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

All costs associated with the application will be borne by the applicant.

## STAFFING IMPLICATION:

There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.
The Municipal Government Act requires that application for subdivision be circulated to adjacent landowners and referral agencies for a minimum of fourteen (14) days. Greenview's Subdivision Process Policy 6007 requires adjacent landowners and encumbrances listed on title be provided twenty-one (21) days to respond.

## FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

No follow up action is required by the Municipal Planning Commission.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- Subdivision Application
- Tentative Plan
- Access Location Plan
- Aerial Map
- Wetland Inventory
- Topographical Map
- Ownership Location Map
- Site Inspection Photos
- Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA)
- Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan - Map 4 Concept Plan
- Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan - Map 8 Roadway Plan


## SUBDIVISION APPLICATION - FORM A

## Municipal District of Greenview

4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3N0
T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

| APPLICATION NO. <br> S24-001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| FORM A AS COMPLETED |  |
| FEES SUBMITTED | RECEIPT NO. |
| ROLL NO. 1393000 |  |

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED IN FULL WHEREVER APPLICABLE BY THE REGISTERED LANDOWNER(S) OR BY AN AUTHORIZED AGENT ACTING ON HIS/HER (THEIR) BEHALF.

1. Name of registered owner(s) of land to be subdivided:

| GREEN LEAF HOLDINGS INC. | Addres |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Name(s) in Block Capitals) | Phone |
| Rural Address: | Email: |

2. AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER:

|  | Address <br>  <br> (Name(s) in Block Capitals) <br>  | Phone N <br> Email: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

December 22, 2023
3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND AREA OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED

4. LOCATION OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. Is the land situated immediately adjacent to the municipal boundary? Yes $\quad \square$ No If "yes", the adjoining municipality is
b. Is the land situated within $1.6 \mathrm{~km}(1600 \mathrm{~m})$ of the right-of-way of a Highway? Yes $\quad \square \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad$ No $\quad \square$ If "yes", the Highway is No. 40
c. Does the proposed parcel contain or is it bounded by a river, stream, lake, other body of water, drainage ditch or canal? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If "yes" state its name
d. Is the proposed parcel located within 1.5 km of a sour gas facility? Yes $\square \square$
e. You must provide the Abandon Wellbore Search Information to identify all well locations or confirming the absence of any abandoned wells as per ERCB Directive 079 (see attached info). Date Search Complete:15 December 2023
5. EXISTING AND PROPOSED USE OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED Describe:
a. Existing use of land Highway Commercial b. Proposed use of land Highwav Commercial
c. The designated use of land as classified under Municipal District No. 16's Land Use Bylaw is DC-Floyd McLennan Business Pa
6. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. Describe the nature of the topography of the land (flat, rolling, steep, mixed) flat
b. Describe the nature of the vegetation and water on the land (brush, shrubs, tree stands, woodlots, sloughs, creeks, etc.) cleared
c. Describe the kind of soil on the land (sandy, loam, clay, etc.) clay
7. EXISTING BUILDINGS ON THE LAND PROPOSED TO BE SUBDIVIDED

Describe any buildings, historical or otherwise, and any structures on the land and whether they are to be demolished or moved None
8. PLEASE COMPLETE WATER \& SEWER SERVICE INFORMATION - FORM B
9. REGISTERED OWNER(S) OR AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER(S):

I/WE $\qquad$
$\qquad$ hereby certify that
(full name i
-I am / are the registered owner(s), or I am the agent authorized to act on behalf of the registered owner, and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my / our knowledge, a true statement of the facts relating to his/her ap
SIGNED: $\qquad$ Date: 22 December 2023

The personal information collected on this form is being collected under the authority of Sections 33 and 39(1)(a)(b) of the Alberta Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, and Section 301.1 of the Municipal Government Act. The information will be used to process your application(s). Your name, contact telephone number and address may be used to carry out current and/or future construction, operating programs, services or activities of the Municipality. If you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of the personal information provided, please contact the Freedom of Information an $₫ \$ P$ rotection of Privacy Coordinator at 780.524.7600.


## WATER \& SEWER INFORMATION - FORM B

Municipal District of Greenview
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO
T: 780.524.7600; F: 780.524.4307; Toll-Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

Please note below the type of water supply and sewage disposal that is either Existing or Proposed for the lots indicated on the sketch accompanying your application. (The location of these facilities must be accurately indicated on the sketch.)

Please indicate if water and sewer services are existing or proposed by entering the initial as follows:
$\mathbf{E}$ - for Existing or $\mathbf{P}$ - for Proposed in the appropriate box.

| TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dugout |  |  |  |
| Well |  |  |  |
| Cistern \& Hauling |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service | P |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) |  |  |  |


| TYPE OF SEWAGE DISPOSAL | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Open Discharge/Pump-out |  |  |  |
| Septic Tank/Holding Tank |  |  |  |
| Tile Field/Evaporation Mound |  |  |  |
| Sewage Lagoon |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service | P |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) |  |  |  |
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## 1 Background Information

Green Leaf Fuel Inc. (Green Leaf) is proposing to develop a cardlock station approximately 130 m east of Highway 40 in the Hamlet of Grande Cache, Alberta. As part of the planning and approvals process, a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) is required to assess the impact of the proposed development on the road network. Because of the proximity to Highway 40, the TIA will be submitted to Alberta Transportation in an application for a Roadside Development Permit (RSDP). Green Leaf has retained Scheffer Andrew Ltd. to complete this Traffic Impact Assessment to determine the impact of the proposed site.

### 1.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development is located east of Highway 40 on Shand Avenue. The existing parcel is legally described as Plan 8223273 Block 34 Lot 6 in Grande Cache and is approximately 3.04 Ha. The development also includes existing undeveloped road ROWs (99 Street and 105 Avenue) that, through coordination with the MD of Greenview, will be closed and consolidated into a new subdivision plan with a new road alignment for 99 Street.

The proposed site is located within the Hamlet of Grande Cache and is identified in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - Site Location


The proposed development includes a new cardlock fuel depot site as a substitute to the fuel delivery service that Green Leaf currently provides within the Hamlet of Grande Cache and the surrounding area. Under this proposed development the existing Lot 6 parcel is to be amalgamated with the adjacent undeveloped road ROWs, and re-subdivided to accommodate a new 99 Street road alignment and two parcels. Re-subdividing this parcel requires approximately $7380 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of road closure, and $3700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of new road construction. Figure $\mathbf{2}$ shows the extent and plan of amalgamation and re-subdivision.


The proposed cardlock site has an approximate total footprint of $10,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The cardlock fuel depot is proposed to be located east of the new 99 Street alignment, and the remaining parcel between the new 99 Street alignment and Highway 40 is not expected to be developed in the near future and is not further considered in this TIA.

Site access is proposed to be onto the new 99 Street alignment whose intersection with Shand Avenue is approximately 130 m east of the Highway 40 ROW. The site location is illustrated in Figure 3. The exact configuration and location of the site access(es) to 99 Street is to be determined.

Figure 3 - Site Access


A preliminary site plan is provided in Appendix A.

### 1.2 Study Scope

This TIA analyzes operation of the Highway 40 and Shand Avenue intersection.
Based on existing traffic volume information, we note that Shand Avenue is an urban roadway with low traffic volumes, therefore we have not further reviewed the intersection of the 99 Street/Shand Avenue as we are confident that this low volume intersection will continue to operate acceptably with stop control on 99 Street.

The proposed development is expected to be opened in 2024. Therefore, the study will evaluate the highway intersection at two horizons: 2024 and 2044. Four scenarios will be evaluated based on the two horizons:

- 2024 background traffic only
- 2024 combined (background and development) traffic
- 2044 background traffic only
- 2044 combined (background and development) traffic


### 1.3 Roadway Access

The proposed development will be accessed from the future 99 Street north of Shand Avenue as shown in Figure 3. For further illustration see the preliminary site plan in Appendix A.

## 2 Existing Infrastructure \& Conditions

### 2.1 Existing Highway Conditions

Highway 40 is classified as a Level 2 highway and is developed as four lane divided highway at this location. The road is 18.8 m wide with an urban cross-section and includes a raised median, and curb and gutter on both sides of the road. Near the study area, the highway runs approximately north-south and carries two through lanes in each direction. There are dedicated southbound and northbound left turn lanes, with each lane approximately 3.7 m wide. This cross-section is consistent for more than 0.6 km through the Hamlet of Grande Cache.

The posted speed limit of Highway 40 is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ near the subject intersection, however, approximately 275 m north of the intersection, the speed limit transitions to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ past the brake check. A horizontal curve exists approximately 150 m north of the intersection. The impact of the curve on sight distance is analyzed in Section 4.8.

Highway 40 is free flow through the Highway $40 /$ Shand Avenue intersection; Shand Avenue is stop controlled at the intersection. A service road that runs along the highway exists to the east of Shand Avenue, and is separated by a landscaped boulevard. The subject intersection has an approximately 53 m long marked crosswalk on its east side for pedestrians to cross Highway 40 and the service road. An asphalt shared-use path runs along the west side of Highway 40 south of the intersection of Highway $40 /$ Shand Avenue.

### 2.2 Existing Local Road Conditions

99 Street is existing south of Shand Avenue and is proposed to be extended north to provide access to the proposed development. The existing south 99 Street has an approximately 13.5 m wide asphalt surface.

Shand Avenue is a paved road with an approximately 13.5 m wide asphalt surface, with curbs and sidewalk on both sides. However, only the sidewalk on the south side of Shand Avenue crosses Highway 40.

The site is adjacent to a local RCMP station (located immediately southwest of the subject property) which utilizes Shand Avenue as primary access to/from the site.

A service road runs on the east side and parallel to Highway 40, south of Shand Avenue. The road is paved with concrete curb and gutter.

### 2.3 Historical Traffic Growth

Traffic count data for the intersection of Highway 40/Shand Avenue was obtained from Alberta Transportation's online traffic count maps and historic traffic count data and is included in Appendix B.

Figure 4 shows the traffic volumes on Highway 40 at Shand Avenue for the previous 19 years. The calculated growth rate based on this data is $0.90 \%$ ( 18 years), $-3.02 \%$ ( 10 years), and $-4.25 \%$ ( 5 years). Because the historical growth rate is low, and it appears to be slowing in the last 5 years, a $1.0 \%$ growth rate was used to estimate north/south background traffic growth. The historical growth rate for
background traffic along Shand Avenue was evaluated and shows a negative growth rate over the past 19 years. Given the established nature of the hamlet, a conservative $0.5 \%$ growth rate for east/west background traffic growth was used for this study.

Figure 4- Highway 40 Historic Traffic Volumes at Shand Avenue


## 3 Traffic Projections

The proposed development includes a new cardlock fuel depot with the construction of a new road alignment. It is anticipated that the development will be constructed in 2024. We have therefore considered two horizons for analysis: 2024 and 2044. The following sections present the background, development, and combined traffic for the two horizons.

### 3.1 Background Traffic

Traffic count data was available from Alberta Transportation's traffic count database for the intersection of Highway 40 at Shand Avenue. The 2022 traffic counts were used to estimate background traffic at the intersection.

### 3.1.1 2024 Background Traffic

Background traffic volumes have been estimated for 2024 based on Alberta Transportation's 2022 background traffic volumes using the calculated $1.0 \%$ growth rate for the north/south and $0.5 \%$ for east/west traffic volumes. Figure 5 presents the background traffic volumes adjusted to year 2024.

Figure 5 - 2024 Background Traffic Volumes


### 3.1.2 2044 Background Traffic

A standard 20-year analysis period has been used for the design horizon. Background traffic volumes have been estimated for 2044 based on the Alberta Transportation's 2022 background traffic volumes using the calculated $1.0 \%$ growth rate for the north/south and $0.5 \%$ for east/west and turning traffic volumes. Figure 6 shows the projected 2044 background traffic volumes.

Figure 6-2044 Background Traffic Volumes


### 3.2 Development Traffic

The proposed development includes a cardlock fuel depot with access onto the northward extension of 99 Street. The proposed site for the cardlock is approximately $10,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area.

For the purpose of this TIA, client supplied trip generation information was used to estimate the future traffic volume generated by the proposed development. The cardlock is planned to be in operation Monday through Friday from 8am to 5 pm , and on Saturdays from 8am to noon. The daily vehicle counts were estimated based on anticipated fuel purchase volumes from their current operations. Table 1 below presents the client supplied average daily vehicle estimation.

Table 1 - Client Supplied Average Daily Vehicle Estimates

|  | Estimated Traffic for Cardlock | Daily Vehicle Count |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Customers | Retail Fuel Gas (Passenger) | 23.08 |
|  | Retail Fuel Diesel (Passenger) | 23.53 |
|  | Commercial (Heavy Truck) | 20.62 |
|  | Parts/Oil (Pickup) | 10.00 |
| In-House | Passenger (Employees) | 6.00 |
|  | Delivery Units (Bulk Body Jobs no trailer) | 3.47 |
|  | Supply Units (Super-B Tanker Multi Trailer) | 1.52 |
|  | Total |  |
| 88.22 |  |  |

### 3.2.1 Trip Generation

## Trip Rates

Using the client supplied information, the site is anticipated to generate traffic volumes summarized in Table 2.

The total generated trips are broken down into AADT, AM Peak, and PM peak. AADT was calculated as double the Total Daily Vehicle Count from Table 1, which was rounded to 90 from 88.22 to allow for meaningful calculations.

As trips are expected to be work trips completed throughout the work day, and will be spread throughout the work day, AM Peak and PM Peak were each taken as $15 \%$ of the estimated AADT. It was assumed that no one would be staying for long periods, or overnight at the proposed site, so all directional distributions were assumed at 50/50.

Table 2 - Development Trip Generation

| Time Period | Generated Trips | Direction Distribution |  | Trips Generated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound |
| AADT | 180 | 50\% | 50\% | 90 | 90 |
| AM Peak | 27 | 50\% | 50\% | 14 | 14 |
| PM Peak | 27 | 50\% | 50\% | 14 | 14 |

## Trip Assignment and Distribution

Trip assignment and distribution for future traffic is based on an estimate of where people will be traveling to and from when accessing the development. As the majority of nearby commercial/industrial development is east of the site, it is anticipated that more traffic will occur to the east. However, the residential development to the west will also need to be considered for the staff commuting to the site. Access to Highway 40 also needs to be considered since supply deliveries and some customers will be arriving and departing on the highway.

It is estimated that $40 \%$ of development traffic will enter and exit from the north and south on Highway 40 with $20 \%$ from the south and $20 \%$ from the north. Since the development's main purpose is to service the commercial/industrial area to the east of the highway, through traffic to the residential area to the west will likely not be substantial. However, to account for some traffic from the residential area (such as
staff commuting to site) $5 \%$ of development traffic is estimated to enter and exit west through the Highway $40 /$ Shand Ave intersection. Due to the nature of the site's clients, the remaining $55 \%$ of development traffic is estimated to remain in the commercial/industrial area, fueling their vehicles throughout the day, and do not affect the subject highway intersection.

Figure 7 presents the trip assignment and distribution assumptions of the proposed development traffic at the subject intersection.

Figure 7 - Trip Assignment and Distibution of Development Traffic




### 3.2.2 Projected Development Traffic

Using the trip assignment and distribution percentages in Figure 7, the proposed future site generated traffic volumes at the study intersection were calculated and summarized in Figure 8.

Figure 8 - Proposed Development Traffic Volumes


### 3.3 Future Traffic

Future background traffic volumes have been forecasted for the opening year (2024) and the 20-year horizon (2044) as discussed in Section 3.13.1. Development traffic has been estimated based on the discussion in Section 3.23.2. The following sections outline the total combined traffic volumes for the two horizons.

### 3.3.1 2024 Combined Traffic

The 2024 combined traffic volumes are the sum of the 2024 background traffic volumes and the development traffic projections. Figure 9 presents the estimated 2024 combined traffic volumes.


Figure 9-2024 Combined Traffic



### 3.3.2 2044 Combined Traffic

The 2044 combined traffic volumes are the sum of the 2044 background traffic volumes and the development traffic projections.

Figure 10 presents the estimated 2044 combined traffic volumes.
Figure 10-2044 Combined Traffic Volumes


## 4 Analysis

Several analyses have been completed based on Alberta Transportation's Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines, including Alberta Transportation's intersection layout warrants, Capacity Analysis using the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology, signalization analysis, illumination warrant analysis, and others.

### 4.1 AT Intersection Analysis

Alberta Transportation's Highway Geometric Design guide is typically used to determine the standard intersection configuration required at the intersection.

Alberta Transportation has typical intersection designs based on the AT analysis procedures. There are analysis procedures for right and left turn lane requirements. However, the analysis for left turn lanes is typically used for two lane undivided highways. At this location, Highway 40 is divided with two through lanes and dedicated turn lanes in each direction. When comparing traffic operations of left turning vehicles across one opposing lane vs. two opposing lanes, the service levels will be different because there is a greater chance there will be more gaps in traffic flow. This will be different than at a typical two-lane highway intersection because the lane utilization for Highway 40 will differ as both lanes continue for significant distances in both directions.

Left turn lanes already exist for both northbound and southbound traffic at the Shand Avenue intersection. In addition, Highway 40 at this location has an urban cross-section with curb and gutter, it is fully illuminated, within an urban hamlet, and the speed limits are $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or less. Therefore, drivers on Highway 40 at this location will have a greater expectation and awareness that vehicles may turn on and off the highway at the subject intersection.

Based on the above, we have not completed a standard left turn analysis at the subject intersection as part of this TIA.

In addition to the left turn analysis, Alberta Transportation's criteria for right turn accommodation was used to determine if right turn lanes were required. For an exclusive right turn lane to be required, the main road AADT must be greater than 1800, the intersecting road AADT must be greater than 900, and the right turn daily traffic volume must be greater than 360 for the movement in question.
For the subject intersection, the AADT of Highway 40 is less than 1800, the AADT of Shand Avenue is more than 900. The right turn movements off Highway 40 are estimated to be less than 360 in a day. Therefore, right turn lanes are not required as per the AT right turn lane analysis.

### 4.2 Capacity Analysis

A Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) analysis was completed. Synchro Studio was used to perform these calculations to determine intersection delays and levels of service.

Level of Service is based on the estimated average delay per vehicle for all traffic passing through an intersection. A good level of service is a result of a very low average delay; the highest level of service is identified as LOS A. A poor level of service is a result of a large average delay; typically, the lowest level of service is identified as LOS F. The level of service categories also vary depending on whether an intersection is signalized or stop- or yield- controlled. The Highway Capacity Manual justifies this difference by noting that drivers stopped at a signal light will have more tolerance for delays because their perception is that eventually they will get their turn, even with a longer wait. Poor level of service can contribute to drivers taking risks and proceeding unsafely into an intersection. Table 3 identifies the level of service criteria for intersections.

Table 3- Level of Service Definition

| Level of Service | Average Signalized Control <br> Delay per Vehicle (s) | Average Stop Control <br> Delay per Vehicle (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | less than 10 | less than 10 |
| B | $10-20$ | $10-15$ |
| C | $20-35$ | $15-25$ |
| D | $35-55$ | $25-35$ |
| E | $55-80$ | $35-50$ |
| F | greater than 80 | greater than 50 |

The volume-to-capacity ratio of an intersection describes the extent to which the traffic volumes can be accommodated by the theoretical physical capacity of the road configuration and traffic control. A v/c ratio less than 0.9 indicates that there is generally sufficient capacity to accommodate the traffic on the approach or at the intersection. A value between 0.9 and 1.0 suggests unstable operations and congestion may occur as volumes are nearing the theoretical capacity of the roadway. A calculated value over 1.0 indicates that volumes are theoretically exceeding capacity. Table 4 identifies the volume-to-capacity criteria for intersections.

Table 4 - Volume to Capacity Ratio Definition

| Volume-to-Capacity Ratio | Indication |
| :---: | :---: |
| less than 0.9 | sufficient intersection capacity |
| 0.9 to 1.0 | volumes approaching intersection capacity |
| greater than 1.0 | volumes exceed theoretical intersection capacity |

Typically, Alberta Transportation designs highway and rural roadways to an overall LOS C or better with the minor approach of LOS D or better. Therefore, for the purposes of this analysis, a minimum LOS C was required for the intersection and Highway 40 approaches. The Volume-to-Capacity ratio should also be less than 0.9 for all approaches.

All analyses generally use typical Synchro Studio default values, including a peak hour factor of 0.92 . The heavy vehicle split was $4 \%$ for all movements based on the existing heavy vehicle split.

The lane configuration for Highway 40 was considered to be a dedicated left turn lane, a through lane, and a through-right lane for both northbound and southbound traffic. Lane configuration for the eastbound and westbound approaches were considered to have one lane with shared left/though/right movements. The existing intersection is stop controlled, with stop signs on the east and west approaches, and free flow for the north and south approaches.

Capacity analysis was completed for both 2024 and 2044 horizons for background traffic only as well as combined (background and development) traffic.

Detailed Synchro HCM analysis is provided in Appendix C.

### 4.2.1 2024 Background Traffic Analysis

An HCM analysis completed for the intersection shows that it will operate with excellent service levels in the AM and PM peak hours. The east and west approaches operate at LOS B, the north and south approaches operate at LOS A in the AM and PM peak. This indicates that there are no operational issues with the current lane configuration and control.

Table 5 provides a summary of the HCM analysis for the 2024 Background AM and PM peak hours. This analysis identifies that the existing configuration is expected to operate at acceptable service levels with 2024 background traffic volumes.

Table 5-2024 Background Traffic Analysis

|  | Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Geometry | $4$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | 7 | Tr |  | 7 | Tr |  |
|  | Control | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 感 } \\ & \vdots \\ & \sum_{4}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Volume (yph) | 9 | 40 | 20 | 14 | 52 | 13 | 18 | 50 | 14 | 21 | 71 | 8 |
|  | v/c | 0.10 |  |  | 0.13 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 10.6 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 7.4 | 0 |  | 7.4 | 0 |  |
|  | $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 2.8 |  |  | 3.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 10.6 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [5.7] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \sum_{0}^{0} \end{aligned}$ | Volume (vph) | 8 | 27 | 17 | 4 | 42 | 3 | 16 | 40 | 10 | 12 | 97 | 56 |
|  | v/c | 0.08 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.06 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 10.5 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 7.6 | 0 |  | 7.3 | 0 |  |
|  | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 2.0 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 0.3 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 10.5 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [3.9] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.2 2044 Background Traffic Analysis

An HCM analysis completed for the intersection shows that it will operate with excellent service levels in the AM and PM peak hours. The east and west approaches operate at LOS B, the north and south approaches operate at LOS A in the AM and PM peak. This indicates that there are no operational issues with the current lane configuration and control.

Table 6 provides a summary of the HCM analysis for the 2044 Background AM and PM peak hours. This analysis identifies that the existing configuration is expected to operate at acceptable service levels with 2044 forecasted background traffic volumes.

Table 6-2044 Background Traffic Analysis

| Approach Geometry |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $4$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | 7 | thr |  | 7 | tr |  |
|  | Control | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
|  | Volume (vph) | 10 | 45 | 22 | 19 | 58 | 17 | 20 | 60 | 19 | 26 | 85 | 9 |
|  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ | 0.12 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 11.1 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 7.5 | 0 |  | 7.4 | 0 |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 3.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 0.4 | 0 |  | 0.5 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 11.1 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 1.5 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [5.9] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (vph) | 9 | 30 | 19 | 4 | 47 | 3 | 18 | 48 | 11 | 13 | 116 | 61 |
|  | v/c | 0.09 |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.06 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 10.8 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 7.6 | 0 |  | 7.4 | 0 |  |
|  | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 2.5 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  | 0.4 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 10.8 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [3.9] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.3 2024 Combined Traffic Analysis

An HCM analysis completed for the intersection shows that it will operate with excellent service levels in the AM and PM peak hours. Highway 40 approaches operate at LOS A in the AM and PM peaks. The eastbound and westbound approaches are expected to operate at LOS B in the AM and PM peaks. This indicates that there are no operational issues with the current lane configuration and control following the opening of the proposed development.

Table 7 provides a summary of the HCM analysis for the 2024 combined AM and PM peak hours. This analysis identifies that the existing configuration is expected to operate at acceptable service levels with 2024 combined traffic volumes.

Table 7-2024 Combined Traffic Analysis


### 4.2.4 2044 Combined Traffic Analysis

An HCM analysis completed for the intersection shows that it will operate with excellent service levels in the AM and PM peak hours. Highway 40 approaches operate at LOS A in the AM and PM peaks. The eastbound and westbound approaches are expected to operate at LOS B in the AM and PM peaks. This indicates that there are no operational issues with the current lane configuration and control following the opening of the proposed development.

Table 8 provides a summary of the HCM analysis for the 2044 combined AM and PM peak hours. This analysis identifies that the existing configuration is expected to operate at acceptable service levels with 2044 combined traffic volumes.

Table 8-2044 Combined Traffic Analysis

| Approach <br> Geometry |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Psi$ |  |  | $4$ |  |  | 5 | tr |  | 7 | tr |  |
| \% | Control | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
|  | Volume (vph) | 9 | 40 | 20 | 14 | 52 | 13 | 18 | 50 | 14 | 21 | 71 | 8 |
|  | v/c | 0.10 |  |  | 0.13 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 10.6 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 7.4 | 0 |  | 7.4 | 0 |  |
|  | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 2.8 |  |  | 3.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 10.6 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [5.7] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume (vph) | 9 | 31 | 19 | 7 | 48 | 6 | 18 | 48 | 14 | 16 | 116 | 61 |
|  | v/c | 0.10 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.06 |  |
|  | Delay (s) | 10.9 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 7.6 | 0 |  | 7.4 | 0 |  |
|  | $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 2.6 |  |  | 2.9 |  |  | 0.4 | 0 |  | 0.3 | 0 |  |
|  | Approach Delay (s) | 10.9 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |
|  | Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
|  | Intersection LOS [Delay (s)] | A [4.1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Intersection Configuration Summary and Recommendations

Based on the above capacity analysis, the existing intersection is appropriate for both background traffic and combined traffic for all horizons analyzed. This illustrates that no upgrades will be required because of the development of the cardlock fuel depot.

### 4.4 Signalization Analysis

The intersection is currently stop controlled for the eastbound and westbound approaches. Signalization analysis was completed for the 2044 combined horizon to determine if signalization is warranted for the worst-case scenario reviewed in this report. The analysis showed that signalization is not warranted based on the projected traffic volume in 2044; signalization is therefore also not warranted for the 2024 horizon as traffic volumes are lower. The Signal Analysis worksheet is included in Appendix D. Specifically, signalization may be warranted when the warrant score is 100 or greater. The signalization analysis yielded a score of 13 , therefore signalization is not warranted.

### 4.5 Illumination Warrant Analysis

The intersection is fully illuminated therefore no illumination analysis was completed.

### 4.6 Pedestrian Warrant Analysis

A shared use path is located along the west side of Highway 40 south of Shand Avenue. A sidewalk also runs east-west along the southside of Shand Avenue both east and west of Highway 40. A 53 m pedestrian crossing marked with zebra stripes exists across Highway 40 on the south side of Shand Avenue, with nonstandard flashing amber signals activated by a pedestrian push button. No information is available if detailed analysis and approvals have been completed prior to the installation of the amber flashing lights.

The proposed development is a cardlock fuel depot that does not provide any services for pedestrians and is in an area in Grande Cache that is primarily vehicle oriented. Therefore, the proposed development is not expected to generate a significant number of pedestrian trips.

Based on the above observations, no additional pedestrian accommodation is recommended at this location.

However, when the future 99 Street is constructed, there should be consideration for a sidewalk along 99 Street north of Shand Avenue.

### 4.7 Collision Review

In the past 10 years, there were eight reported collisions at the subject intersection. Two of these collisions were minor injury collisions and 6 were limited to property damage only (PDO). The collisions appear to be mostly related to judgment error or failing to stop at the stop sign before proceeding through the intersection.

After analyzing the accident types and the observed drop in traffic volume based on traffic data of the past 10 years, there is no indication that there are geometric issues at the intersection that need to be reviewed as part of the proposed development.

### 4.8 Sight Distances

At the subject intersection, sight distance to the north is approximately 250 m , limited by a vertical crest, and unrestricted to the south with more than 300 m visibility.

Using the equation provided in section D.4.2.2.2 of the Highway Geometric Design Guide, the required intersection sight distance for southbound traffic is approximately 270 m for a truck to complete a left turn onto Highway 40 (design speed $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ based on the posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ up to the subject intersection).

While the sight distance is not optimal, the intersection is constrained by natural topography and is located in an urban area where drivers anticipate interrupted and reduced flow of traffic. The travelling speed and the existing 250 m of sight distance should allow sufficient time for crossing trucks to complete the turn as well as approaching drivers to make a decision.

## 5 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 5.1 Summary

Green Leaf Fuel Inc. (Green Leaf) is proposing a subdivision and new cardlock fuel depot east of Highway 40 in the Hamlet of Grande Cache, Alberta.

The proposed development is located east of Highway 40 on the future 99 Street north of Shand Avenue. The parcel is legally described as Plan 8223273 Block 34 Lot 6 and is approximately 3.04 Ha .

The proposed development includes a new cardlock fuel depot site as a substitute to the fuel delivery service that Green Leaf currently provides within the Hamlet of Grande Cache and the surrounding area. The proposed cardlock site has an approximate total footprint of $10,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The cardlock fuel depot is proposed to be located east of the new 99 Street alignment, and the remaining parcel between the new 99 Street alignment and Highway 40 is not expected to be developed in the near future and is not further considered in this TIA.

Site access is proposed onto the new 99 Street alignment that is located approximately 130 m east of the Highway 40 ROW, on Shand Avenue. The exact configuration and location of the site access(es) to 99 Street is to be determined.

The observed traffic volumes from the Alberta Transportation traffic count database are lower than previous years, continuing a trend to lower volumes. The calculated historic growth rate for traffic along Highway 40 is $0.9 \%$. A negative historic growth rate was also calculated along Shand Avenue. We have used a $1.0 \%$ annual growth rate for background traffic along Highway 40, and a $0.5 \%$ annual growth rate for traffic on Shand Avenue to forecast future turning movements.

Client supplied trip generation information was used to estimate projected trips from the proposed development. Site development is expected in 2024 and is expected to operate in a similar fashion at the 2044 horizon.

An AT left turn analysis was not completed because the roadway is a divided urban highway with dedicated left turn lanes for both northbound and southbound traffic. The highway already carries two lanes in each direction at the intersection, and the left turn analysis may not be effective at estimating risks and gaps in traffic on a four-lane highway. Instead, an HCM analysis was utilized to identify projected delays and queues at the intersections. The AT criteria for exclusive right turn lanes was reviewed; however, warrants were not met for exclusive right turns on Highway 40 at the intersection.

Capacity analysis was completed for background and combined traffic scenarios for the two horizons (2024 and 2044). All analysis identified that the intersection is expected to operate at acceptable service levels for all horizons.

Signalization warrant was completed and found that the intersection did not warrant signalization in any of the study scenarios.

Therefore, it is recommended that no upgrades will be required to accommodate combined traffic in the 2044 horizon.

A collision review determined there were eight collisions at the subject intersection in the past 10 years. No geometric upgrades are recommended at this time based on the collision review.

Sight distances are adequate at the intersection. The Highway 40 corridor is already illuminated, so illumination warrants were not performed. The development is vehicle oriented and is not anticipated to generate pedestrian traffic.

### 5.2 Recommendation

No geometric upgrades are required to the Highway 40 at Shand Avenue intersection to accommodate traffic volumes in 2024 and 2044 following the development of the proposed cardlock fuel depot.

## 6 Closure

This Traffic Impact Assessment was prepared in support of Green Leaf Fuel Inc. in Grande Cache, Alberta to determine recommendations for access to site from Alberta's highway network.

Respectfully Submitted,
Scheffer Andrew Ltd.

## Permit to practice:

[^1]


## Appendix B

Historic Traffic Volumes



2500
250



AADT: Annual Average Daily Traffic.
Average daily traffic expressed as vehicles perday for the period from January 1 to December 31 (inclusive), 365 days.
ASDT: Average Summer Da ily Traffic. Average daily traffic expressed as vehicles perday for the period from May 1 to September 30 (inclusive), 153 days.



| ¢000¢ | Vehicle Type |  | Volume | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A: Passenger Vehicle |  | 1,247 | 82.0\% |
|  | B: Recreational Vehicle |  | 90 | 5.9\% |
|  | C:Bus |  | 5 | 0.3\% |
|  | D: Single Unit Tuck |  | 30 | 2.0\% |
|  | E: TractorTrailerUnit |  | 148 | 9.7\% |
|  |  | AADT | 1,520 |  |
|  |  | ASDT | 1,800 |  |

NOTE:
Coloured line thickness coresponds to tuming movement volume.
















## REQUEST FOR DECISION

| SUBJECT: | S24-002 - First Parcel Out Subdivision |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| SUBMISSION TO: | MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION | REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION |  |
| MEETING DATE: | April 10, 2024 | DIRECTOR: MAV | PRESENTER: |
| REPORT TYPE: | Subdivision Application | MANAGER: | WRITER: JS |


| FILE NO.: | S24-002 | LAND USE DISTRICT: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LEGAL LOCATION: | NW-14-69-20-W5M |  |
| AREA: | Ward 4, Sunset House and Sweathouse |  |
| APPLICANT/SURVEYOR: (A-1) | Borderline Surveys |  |
| LANDOWNER: | Thomas Mitchell Sr. and Thomas Mitchell Jr. |  |

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Administration has received the subdivision application is a first parcel out of the quarter section within the lands legally described as NW-14-69-20-W5M. The subdivision proposal is to subdivide 4.05 hectares ( 10.0 acres) out of the unsubdivided quarter section. The quarter section is a mile north of Highway 665 and can be accessed via Range Road 202. The location of this quarter section is within Ward 4, Sunset House and Sweathouse.

Majority of the quarter section is being used as hayfield, with some thickly treed areas to the east and west sides of the quarter section. There is a well-established watercourse and riparian area running through the northeastern part of the quarter section and is not affected by the proposal. There is no approach to the balance of the quarter section but a lotion almost 100 m north of the site has been proposed.

Within the proposed subdivision, there is a house, Storage Container, shed, chicken coop, greenhouse, and an old dugout. The northwest part of the proposed subdivision is currently bushed area. In terms of servicing, the water supply is through a water well, while a pumpout/open discharge system is used the sewage disposal system. There is an approach that provides access to the proposed subdivision, via Range Road 202.

## PROPERTY DETAILS:

Existing/Proposed Servicing: Well; Open Discharge/Pumpout
Soil Type: Orthic Humic Gleysol
Topography: Mixed; Undulating High Relief
Wetland Inventory: 32
LSRS Spring Grains: 2(8) - 5W(2): $80 \%$ of the area has slight limitation, and $20 \%$ of the area has very severe limitation due to soils in which excess (not due to inundation) limits the production.

RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

## Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw No. 15-742

## Section 3.3.2 Non-Agricultural Uses

The development of non-agricultural uses in the rural area shall not negatively impact existing agricultural operations. As new development occurs in the rural area, notice to developers respecting the presence of agricultural operations shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the LUB.

The proposed subdivision is an existing residential development. This subdivision will not negatively agricultural operations as the residential development/ use is complementary to this. Since the development is existing development, the current development will have minimal impact on the existing agricultural operation. In addition to this, the parcel size is 4.05 hectares ( 10.0 acres) which limits the removal of the agricultural land. The subdivision proposal can be supported by section 3.3.2.

## Section 3.4.2 Subdivision of Better Agricultural Land

Greenview may support the subdivision of better agricultural land where the proposed subdivision is for:
(a) A farmstead separation;

## Section 3.4.4 Parcel Location

Where possible, subdivisions identified in 3.4.2 will be encouraged to locate on portions of a quarter section that are:
a) Physically severed or are of lower agricultural capability; and/or
b) Adjacent to or near quarter section boundaries to minimize the fragmentation of agricultural land and without constraining or otherwise impacting agricultural operations on the quarter section.

The proposed subdivision is for a subdivision of an existing farmstead and can be supported in section 3.4.2. Further to that, the proposed subdivision is located in near or adjacent to the quarter section boundaries, which minimizes or reduces any conflicts and fragmentation of adjacent agricultural land within the quarter section. The current subdivision proposal is supported by section 3.4.4.

## Land Use Bylaw (LUB) No. 18-800

The current zoning for the parcel legally described as is Agricultural One (A-1) District. Within the A-1 District, for the first parcel out, the minimum parcel size is 1.2 hectares ( 3 acres) while the maximum parcel size is 8.1 hectares ( 20.0 acres). Since this proposed subdivision is the first parcel out of the subdivision, the subdivision proposal can be approved as this is within the allowable parcel size for the A-1 District.

## Comments Received

Prior to the MPC meeting, Administration has referred the subdivision application to the relevant referral agencies and departments. To this date, Administration has received six (6) comments. Administration has received comments from ATCO Electric, East Smoky Gas Co-op, AER, Telus, and Greenview's Environmental Services, Operations, and Construction and Engineering, where they have expressed no concerns with the proposed subdivision.

Greenview's Construction and Engineering has provided that no road widening will be required as Right of Way was previously obtained, and it is already 30 meters wide. A corner cut will not be required.

Based on the circulations to adjacent landowners, there were no comments or concerns that supports or is against the proposed subdivision.

## Recommendation

Administration has determined that the subdivision proposal sufficiently meets policy requirements within the Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw 15-742 and the Land Use Bylaw 18-800. When circulated to the referral agencies and adjacent landowners, there are no objections or concerns with the proposed subdivision.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

MOTION: That the Municipal Planning Commission of the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 hereby APPROVE subdivision application S24-002 for the creation of approximately 4.05 hectares ( 10.0 acres) parcel within the lands legally described as, NW-14-69-20-W5M, subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall submit to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, a Plan of Survey suitable for registration with Alberta Land Titles. The size and location of the subdivided parcel shall be as per the approved tentative plan.
2. The applicant shall pay a final subdivision endorsement fee, in accordance with the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16's Schedule of Fees Bylaw 24-970.
3. The applicant shall pay all taxes owing to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, up to the year in which the subdivision is to be registered, prior to signing the final subdivision endorsement documents.
4. The applicant shall apply and pay all applicable fees in accordance with the Schedule of Fees Bylaw 24-970 for construction of a gravel approach to the remainder of the quarter section. The Municipal District of Greenview No. 16 reserves the right to determine the date of construction of the approach.

## Advisory Notes:

1. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.
2. No development, construction, or site work is allowed without an approved Development Permit from Greenview.

## ALTERNATIVES:

Alternative \#1: The Municipal Planning Commission may refuse the subdivision application, S24-002. Administration does not recommend this option as the proposal complies requirements of the Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw 15-742, and the Land Use Bylaw 18-800.

Alternative \#2: The Municipal Planning Commission may table subdivision application, S24-002, to the next regular meeting or until additional information is received. Administration does not recommend this option as the applicant has provided all information required to provide a decision.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

All costs associated with the application will be borne by the applicant.

## STAFFING IMPLICATION:

There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.

The Municipal Government Act requires that application for subdivision be circulated to adjacent landowners and referral agencies for a minimum of fourteen (14) days. Greenview's Subdivision Process Policy 6007 requires adjacent landowners and encumbrances listed on title be provided twenty-one (21) days to respond.

## FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

No follow up action is required by the Municipal Planning Commission.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- Subdivision Application
- Tentative Plan
- Land Use Bylaw 18-800 - Section 8.1 Agricultural One (A-1) District
- Aerial Map
- AGRASID Map
- Wetland Inventory Map
- Topography Map
- Location Map
- Owner Map
- Site Inspection


MUNICIPAUBIDTVISTION APPLICATION - FORM A

FORM A AS COMPLETED
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO

FEBRUARY 9, 2024 www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

| FEES SUBMITTED | RECEIPT NO. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ROLL NO. 178,952 |  |



1. Name of registered owner(s) of land to be subdivided:
```
Addre
    one
    <:
    il:
```

2. AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER:

| Jason Coates/Borderline Surveys | Addres |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Name(s) in Block Capitals) | Phone N |
|  | Email: |

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND AREA OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED

All/part of the NW $1 / 4 \mathrm{Sec}$._14 Twp._ 69 Range: 20 Mest of 5 Meridian Being all / parts of Reg. Plan No._Block_34_Lot_6_ C. of T. No. 212065040
4. LOCATION OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. Is the land situated immediately adjacent to the municipal boundary? If "yes", the adjoining municipality is
b. Is the land situated within $1.6 \mathrm{~km}(1600 \mathrm{~m})$ of the right-of-way of a Highway? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If "yes", the Highway is No. $\qquad$

c. Does the proposed parcel contain or is it bounded by a river, stream, lake, other body of water, drainage ditch or canal? Yes $\boldsymbol{\sim} \quad$ No If "yes" state its name creek in northeast corner
d. Is the proposed parcel located within 1.5 km of a sour gas facility? Yes $\square$ No $\square$
e. You must provide the Abandon Wellbore Search Information to identify all well locations or confirming the absence of any abandoned wells as per ERCB Directive 079 (see attached info). Date Search Complete: 15 December 2023
5. EXISTING AND PROPOSED USE OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED Describe:
a. Existing use of land Highwav Commercial
b. Proposed use ofland Highway Commercial
c. The designated use of land as classified under Municipal District No. 16's Land Use Bylaw is
6. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. Describe the nature of the topography of the land (flat, rolling, steep, mixed) flat
b. Describe the nature of the vegetation and water on the land (brush, shrubs, tree stands, woodlots, sloughs, creeks, etc.) cleared
c. Describe the kind of soil on the land (sandy, loam, clay, etc.) Clay
7. EXISTING BUILDINGS ON THE LAND PROPOSED TO BE SUBDIVIDED

Describe any buildings, historical or otherwise, and any structures on the land and whether they are to be demolished or moved See Tentative plan
8. PLEASE COMPLETE WATER \& SEWER SERVICE INFORMATION - FORM B
9. REGISTERED OWNER(S) OR AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER(S):

I / WE Jason Coates / Borderline Surveys
hereby certify that
(full name is block capitals)
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am / are the registered owner(s), or $\square$ am the agent authorized to act on behalf of the registered owner, and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my / our knowledge, a true statement of the facts relating to his/her application for subdivisio
SIGNED: $\qquad$ Date: 22 December 2023

The personal information collected on this form is being collected under the authority of Sections 33 and 39(1)(a)(b) of the Alberta Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, and Section 301.1 of the Municipal Government Act. The information will be used to process your application(s). Your name, contact telephone number and address may be used to carry out current and/or future construction, operating programs, services or activities of the Municipality. If you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of the personal information provided, please contact the Freedom of Information ang Protection of Privacy Coordinator at 780.524.7600.

## WATER \& SEWER INFORMATION - FORM B

Municipal District of Greenview
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO
T: 780.524.7600; F: 780.524.4307; Toll-Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

Please note below the type of water supply and sewage disposal that is either Existing or Proposed for the lots indicated on the sketch accompanying your application. (The location of these facilities must be accurately indicated on the sketch.)

Please indicate if water and sewer services are existing or proposed by entering the initial as follows:
$\mathbf{E}$ - for Existing or $\mathbf{P}$ - for Proposed in the appropriate box.

| TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Dugout |  |  |  |
| Well | x |  | na |
| Cistern \& Hauling |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service |  |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) |  |  |  |


| TYPE OF SEWAGE DISPOSAL | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Open Discharge/Pump-out | x |  | na |
| Septic Tank/Holding Tank |  |  |  |
| Tile Field/Evaporation Mound |  |  |  |
| Sewage Lagoon |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service |  |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) |  |  |  |

## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 14, Twp.69, Rge.20, W.5M. (For Farmyard Separation)
Within

Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta
 932318 534: URW - East Smoky Gas Co-op Ltd.


Address: 69247 Rge. Road 202

14

S.W. 1/4 Sec.14, Twp.69, Rge.20, W.5M.

## BORDERLINE SபRVEYS

\#103-2, 830199 Street Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B1 Phone: (780) 538-1955 E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com


PREPARED BY Jason Coates, A.L.S.



## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.14, Twp.69, Rge.20, W.5M. (For Farmyard Separation)


- The house is served by a tank and pumpout
- Water source is a Water well.
- Some buildings may have been erected and others moved since the date of this photo.


## BORDERLINE SURV゙VYS

\#103-2, 830199 Street
Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B1
Phone: (780) 538-1955
E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com
 Jason Coates, A.L.S.



Photo is Bing Imagery dated April 30, 2011 to Sept. 22, 2016

## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.14, Twp.69, Rge.20, W.5M (For Farmyard Separation)

Within
Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta


## BRRDERLINE SURVZEY

\#103-2, 830199 Street Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B Phone: (780) 538-1955 E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com


PREPARED BY Jason Coates, A.L.S.


## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.14, Twp.69, Rge.20, W.5M (For Farmyard Separation)

Within
Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta


BGRDERLINE SURVEYE
\#103-2, 830199 Street Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B1 Phone: (780) 538-1955 E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com


### 8.0 LAND USE DISTRICTS

### 8.1 Agricultural One (A-1) District

### 8.1.1 Purpose

a) The purpose of this District is to protect and preserve better agricultural lands. The uses allowed in this District are those which may be compatible with extensive agricultural operations, and which minimize the loss of agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses.

### 8.1.2 Uses

a) Table 8-1 identifies the permitted and discretionary uses within the A-1 District.

Table 8-1: A-1 Permitted and Discretionary Uses

| Permitted Uses | Discretionary Uses |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Accessory Building | 1.a Abattoir |
| 2. Agricultural Processing | 2.a Airstrip |
| 3. Agriculture, Horticulture | 3.a Compressor |
| 4. Animal Breeding Establishment | 4.a Coverall Building |
| 5. Apiary | 5.a Craft Brewery and Distillery |
| 6. Bed and Breakfast | 6.a Home Occupation, Major |
| 7. Boarding and Lodging | 7.a Natural Resource Extraction |
| 8. Borrow Pit | 8.a Oil and Gas Facility |
| 9. Cabin | 9.a Recreation, Outdoor Motorized Vehicle |
| 10. Cannabis Production Facility | 10.a Recreation, Outdoor Passive |
| 11. Dugout | 11.a Recreational Vehicle Storage |
| 12. Dwelling Unit, Accessory | 12.a Solar Collector, Major |
| 13. Dwelling Unit, Manufactured | 13.a Utilities, Major |
| 14. Dwelling Unit, Modular | 14.a Wind Energy Conversion System, Major |
| 15. Dwelling Unit, Single Detached | 15.a Work Camp, Project Oriented |
| 16. Greenhouse |  |
| 17. Home Occupation, Minor |  |
| 18. Housing Collective, Communal |  |
| 19. Kennel, Commercial |  |
| 20. Kennel, Hobby |  |
| 21. Sign |  |
| 22. Solar Collector, Minor |  |
| 23. Storage, Outdoor |  |
| 24. Suite, Attached |  |
| 25. Suite, Detached |  |
| 26. Wind Energy Conversion System, Minor |  |

### 8.1.3 Regulations

a) On a parcel located in an A-1 District, no building or structure shall be constructed, located or altered, and no subdivision approved which contravenes the regulations set out in Table 8-2.

Table 8-2: A-1 District Regulations

| Matter to Be Regulated | Regulation |
| :---: | :---: |
| . 1 Maximum density | A maximum of 4 dwelling units per parcel, which may include: <br> - a maximum of 2 primary dwelling units <br> - a maximum of 3 accessory dwelling units and/or suites |
| . 2 Minimum parcel size | 1.2 ha (3 ac) |
| . 3 Minimum parcel width | 100 m ( 328.1 ft ) |
| . 4 Minimum setback of principal building from: <br> - Front parcel and exterior side parcel lines <br> - Interior side parcel line <br> - Rear parcel line | Provincial highway: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) Internal subdivision road: 7.5 m ( 24.6 ft .) <br> Service road: 7.5 m ( 24.6 ft .) <br> All other roads: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) <br> Undeveloped road allowance: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) <br> 15.0 m (49.2 ft.) <br> 15.0 m ( 49.2 ft .) |
| .5 Minimum setback of accessory building from: <br> - Front parcel and exterior side parcel lines <br> - Interior side parcel line <br> - Rear parcel line | ```Provincial highway: 40.0 m (131.2 ft.) Internal subdivision road: 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) Service road: }7.5\textrm{m}\mathrm{ (24.6 ft.) All other roads: 40.0 m (131.2 ft.) 15.0 m (49.2 f.) 15.0 m (49.2 ft.)``` |
| . 6 Maximum building and structure height <br> - Principal building and structures <br> - Accessory building | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \mathrm{~m}(32.8 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 10.0 \mathrm{~m}(32.8 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ |
| . 7 Maximum parcel coverage (all buildings) | 30 \% |

Figure 8-1: Examples of A-1 Dwelling Unit Configurations


### 8.1.4 Other Regulations

a) All applications for confined feeding operations must be submitted to the Natural Resources Conservation Board for review and approval in accordance with the Agricultural Operation Practices Act;
b) Only one A-1 parcel may be taken out of an unsubdivided quarter section without rezoning.Work Camp, Project-Oriented - Only within 400.00 m (1,312.3 ft.) of highways;
c) First Parcel Out: Minimum: 1.2 ha ( 3.0 ac )

Maximum: 8.1 ha (20.0 ac)
d) An application to create two titles based upon a fragmented parcel may be approved notwithstanding the size of parcels to be created on either side of the fragmentation line with the following conditions:
i. Minimum parcel sizes and other development considerations must be adhered to;
ii. Parcels fragmented by water bodies or ravines would still be subject to environmental reserve evaluation and dedication.
e) Agricultural parcels that are fragmented will be prorated by the size of the parcel to determine the number and size of parcels that are to qualify for subdivision from each fragmented portion as follows:
i. The amount of land that may be subdivided from a fragmented parcel will be directly proportionate to its percentage of the quarter section.
*** See the General Regulations (Section 5.0) for additional regulations and exceptions. ***
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## SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS

S24-002 (MITCHELL)<br>DATE OF INSPECTION: APRIL 2, 2024


a. Existing Approach to the proposed subdivision on NW14-69-20-W5M, along Range Road 202

b. Approximate Location of the proposed Approach to the remainder of the quarter section NW14-69-20-W5M, along Range Road 202

c. Proposed subdivision, along Range Road 202

d. Side of the proposed subdivision adjacent to Range Road 202, facing south

## REQUEST FOR DECISION

| SUBJECT: | S24-004 - First Parcel Out Subdivision |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| SUBMISSION TO: | MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION | REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION |  |
| MEETING DATE: | April 10, 2024 | DIRECTOR: | MAV |
| REPORT TYPE: | Subdivision Application | MANAGER: | WRITER: JS |


| FILE NO.: | S24-004 | LAND USE DISTRICT: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LEGAL LOCATION: | SW-16-75-24-W5M |  |
| AREA: | Ward 6, DeBolt and Puskwaskau One (A-1) |  |
| APPLICANT/SURVEYOR: | Borderline Surveys Ltd. |  |
| LANDOWNERS: | Wade and Cheryl Morrison |  |

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Administration has received the subdivision application for a first parcel out within the lands legally described as SW-16-75-24-W5M, which is an unsubdivided quarter section. The subdivision proposal is to take 8.10 hectares ( 20.0 acres) out of the quarter section. The subject quarter section is located approximately 2 miles north of Highway 676. The quarter section can be accessed via a Private Resource Road to the west of the quarter section and Township Road 752 to the south of the quarter section. The quarter section is within Ward 6, DeBolt and Puskwaskau.

Majority of the eastern portion of the quarter section is cleared and is currently being used as pastureland, while western portion and the southern edges of the quarter section are primarily treed areas. There is an approach to the west of the proposed subdivision, coming off from the Private Resource Road, which provides access to the proposed lot. There is also an approach to the east of the proposed subdivision and from Township Road 752, which may provide access to the balance of the quarter section.

Within the proposed subdivision, there is exists cabins (2), sheds (3), an above ground water tank, a dugout and an outhouse. There are some portion within the proposed subdivision, east of the proposed subdivision, are rototilled, and are being used for small scale farming. In terms of servicing, water supply will be through water hauling for household use, while the dugout and aboveground water tank will be used for small scale agricultural pursuits.

## PROPERTY DETAILS:

Proposed Servicing: Hauling, dugout, above ground water tank for water supply; Outhouse for sewage disposal system
Soil Type: Gleyed Gray Luvisol
Topography: Flat, rolling;
Wetland Inventory: 23
LSRS Spring Grains:

- Part of the quarter section is $3(8)-6 \mathrm{~W}(2): 80 \%$ of the area has moderate limitation, and $20 \%$ of the area has extremely severe limitation due to excess water (not due to inundation) limits the production.
- Part of the quarter section is $7 \mathrm{~W}(6)-3(2)-4 \mathrm{M}(2)$ : $60 \%$ of the area is unsuitable due to excess water (not due to inundation) limits the production, $20 \%$ of the area has moderate limitation, and $20 \%$ of the area has severe limitation due to lack of water related to inherent soil characteristics.


## RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

## Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw 15-742

## Section 3.3.2 Non-Agricultural Uses

The development of non-agricultural uses in the rural area shall not negatively impact existing agricultural operations. As new development occurs in the rural area, notice to developers respecting the presence of agricultural operations shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the LUB.

The existing development/use within the proposed subdivision has minimal impact on the existing farming operations of the pasturelands found within the quarter section. Should there be more agricultural operations within the quarter section, the current residential use or component is complementary to the agriculture use.

## Section 3.4.2 Subdivision of Better Agricultural Land

Greenview may support the subdivision of better agricultural land where the proposed subdivision is for:
(a) A farmstead separation;

## Section 3.4.4 Parcel Location

Where possible, subdivisions identified in 3.4.2 will be encouraged to locate on portions of a quarter section that are:
a) Physically severed or are of lower agricultural capability; and/or
b) Adjacent to or near quarter section boundaries to minimize the fragmentation of agricultural land and without constraining or otherwise impacting agricultural operations on the quarter section.

The proposed subdivision can be considered as a farmstead separation, and can be supported under Section 3.4.2 of the Greenview's MDP. Further to that, the proposed subdivision meets the condition set forth in Section 3.4.4 for the parcel location, in a sense that it is located in the land that would be adjacent to or near quarter section boundaries. If the pasturelands located east of the quarter section continue to be used for agricultural purposes, the location of the proposed subdivision minimizes the impact on the agricultural operations.

## Section 3.5.2 Non Agricultural Uses

Proposals for non-agricultural uses may be supported depending upon the merits of the proposal as determined under Section 10.3 ("Subdivision and Development Requirements"), and its effect on the farming area.

Related to Section 3.5.2, the proposed subdivision meets the criteria set forth in Section 10.3 of the MDP. In accordance with Section 10.3.1, the proposed subdivision is in compliance with the MGA, Regulation, LUB and any other applicable statutory plan, has adequate road access and minimal off-site traffic impacts, has satisfactory water supply and sewage disposal system for the seasonal cabin use, is compatible with adjacent land uses, and will not negatively impact on the farming operations within the area. As such, this subdivision proposal may then be supported.

## Land Use Bylaw (LUB) 18-800

The current zoning for the parcel legally described as is Agricultural One (A-1) District. Within the A-1 District, for the first parcel out, the minimum parcel size is 1.2 hectares ( 3 acres) while the maximum parcel size is 8.1 hectares ( 20.0 acres). Since this proposed subdivision is the first parcel out of the quarter section, the subdivision proposal of taking 8.10 Hectares ( 20.0 acres) out of the quarter section may be approved as this is within the maximum parcel size for the A-1 District.

Under the LUB, the use of Cabin is defined as "a dwelling unit suitable for seasonal use and generally lacking in one or more of the components, conveniences or utilities required for year-round occupancy. A cabin can be a stick built detached building which is either moved-in or constructed on site." This is a Permitted Use in the A-1 District.

However, the cabins do not have an approved Development Permit attached to this, even though the assessment records show that the cabin has an "Effective Year Built" of 2015. This can be dealt at the Development Permitting stage where the landowners may be requested to apply for a Development Permit. Based on the Tentative Plan provided, the development within the proposed subdivision meets the setback regulations for the A-1 District.

## Comments Received

Prior to the MPC meeting, Administration has referred the subdivision application to the relevant referral agencies and departments. To date, Administration has received six (6) comments. Administration has received comments from ATCO Electric, East Smoky Gas Co-op, Alberta Energy Regulator, Telus, and Greenview's Roads and Construction and Engineering, where they have no objections or concerns with the proposed subdivision.

Greenview's Construction and Engineering has indicated that no road widening shall occur as the Township Road 752, while the road adjacent to the west of the subject quarter section, is not a Greenview Road. This road is a Private Resource Road.

Adjacent landowners were also notified of the proposed subdivision; however, Administration did not receive any written or verbal comments or concerns regarding the proposed subdivision.

## Recommendation

Administration has determined that the subdivision proposal sufficiently meets policy requirements within the Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw 15-742 and the Land Use Bylaw 18-800 When circulated to the referral agencies and adjacent landowners, there are no objections or concerns with the proposed subdivision. Since the cabins do not currently have Development Permits, the landowners may be required to apply for a development permit for these cabins, which are listed as permitted uses, to ensure they meet the minimum expectations for this development form before they separated out onto a smaller parcel.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

MOTION: That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE the subdivision application S24-004 for the creation of approximately 8.10 hectares ( 20 acres) parcel within the lands legally described as, SW-16-75-$24-W 5 M$ subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall submit to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, a Plan of Survey suitable for registration with Alberta Land Titles. The size and location of the subdivided parcel shall be as per the approved tentative plan.
2. The applicant shall pay a final subdivision endorsement fee, in accordance with the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16's Schedule of Fees Bylaw 24-970.
3. The applicant shall pay all taxes owing to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, up to the year in which the subdivision is to be registered, prior to signing the final subdivision endorsement documents.
4. Obtain and comply with the conditions of a development permit for the two cabin structures on the proposal lot or provide evidence of their removal or demolition.

## Advisory Notes:

1. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.
2. No development, construction, or site work is allowed without an approved Development Permit from Greenview.

## ALTERNATIVES:

Alternative \#1: The Municipal Planning Commission may refuse the subdivision application, S24-004. Administration does not recommend this option as the proposal complies requirements of the Municipal Development Plan (MDP) Bylaw 15-742, and the Land Use Bylaw 18-800.

Alternative \#2: The Municipal Planning Commission may table subdivision application, S24-004, to the next regular meeting or until additional information is received. Administration does not recommend this option as the applicant has provided all information required to provide a decision.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

All costs associated with the application will be borne by the applicant.

STAFFING IMPLICATION:
There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.

The Municipal Government Act requires that applications for subdivision be circulated to adjacent landowners and referral agencies for a minimum of fourteen (14) days. Greenview's Subdivision Process Policy 6007 requires adjacent landowners and encumbrances listed on title be provided twenty-one (21) days to respond.

## FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

No follow-up action is required by the Municipal Planning Commission.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- Subdivision Application
- Tentative Plan
- Land Use Bylaw 18-800 - Section 8.1 Agricultural One (A-1) District
- Aerial Map
- AGRASID Map
- Wetland Inventory Map
- Topography Map
- Location Map
- Owner Map
- Site Inspection

SUBDIVISION APPLICATLONIICFARMISARICT<br>Municipal District of Greenvien NVIEW No. 16 Avenue, Box 1079 Valleyview AB TOH 3 NO<br>4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079 Valleyview AB TOH 3NO<br>T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll FRE1865. 收 F60<br>www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

| SPPLICATION NO. <br> S24-004 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| FORM A AS COMPLETED |  |
| FEES SUBMITTED | RFFFIDT NO |
| ROLL NO. 311087 |  |

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED IN FULL WHEREVER APPLCABLE BY THE REGIST ERED LAND

1. Name of registered owner(s) of land to be subdivided:

2. AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER:
Jason Coates/Borderline Surverys _ Address:

| (Name(s) in Block Capitals) | Phone Number: | Work: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

3. LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND AREA OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED

4. LOCATION OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. is the land situated immediately adjacent to the municipal boundary? If "yes", the adjoining municipality is $\qquad$


Is the land situated within $1.6 \mathrm{~km}(1600 \mathrm{~m})$ of the right-of-way of a Highway? Yes
No $\quad \checkmark$ If "yes", the Highway is No. $\qquad$
c. Does the proposed parcel contain or is it bounded by a river, stream, lake, other body of water, drainage ditch or canal?

Yes $\square$ No If "yes" state its name $\qquad$
d. Is the proposed parcel located within 1.5 km of a sour gas facility? Yes $\square$ No $r$
e. You must provide the Abandon Wellbore Search Information to identify all well locations or confirming the absence of any abandoned wells as per ERCB Directive 079 (see attached info). Date Search Complete: February 26, 2024
5. EXISTING AND PROPOSED USE OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED Describe:
a. Existing use of land Agriculture
b. Proposed use ofland Agriculture
c. The designated use of land as classified under Municipal District No. 16's Land Use Bylaw is
6. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED
a. Describe the nature of the topography of the land (flat, rolling, steep, mixed) flat, rolling
b. Describe the nature of the vegetation and water on the land (brush, shrubs, tree stands, woodlots, sloughs, creeks, etc.) brush, trees
c. Describe the kind of soil on the land (sandy, loam, clay, etc.) Clay
7. EXISTING BUILDINGS ON THE LAND PROPOSED TO BE SUBDIVIDED

Describe any buildings, historical or otherwise, and any structures on the land and whether they are to be demolished or moved See Tentative plan
8. PLEASE COMPLETE WATER \& SEWER SERVICE INFORMATION -FORM B
9. REGISTERED OWNER(S) OR AGENT ACTING ON BEHALF OF REGISTERED OWNER(S): 1/ We Jason Coates/Borderline Survey hereby certify that
(full name is block capitals)
$\square 1$ am / are the registered owner(s), or $\square 1$ am the agent authorized to act on behalf of the registered owner, and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my / our knowledge, a true statement of the facts relating to his/her
SIGNED:_


The personal information collected on this form is being collected under the authority of Sections 33 and 39(1)(a)(b) of the Alberta Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, and Section 301.1 of the Municipal Government Act. The information will be used to process your application(s). Your name, contact telephone number and address may be used to carry out current and/or future construction, operating programs, services or activities of the Municipality. If you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of the personal information provided, please contact the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Coordinator at 780.524.7600.


# WATER \& SEWER INFORMATION - FORM B 

Municipal District of Greenview<br>4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3N0<br>T: 780.524.7600; F: 780.524.4307; Toll-Free 1.866.524.7608<br>www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

Please note below the type of water supply and sewage disposal that is either Existing or Proposed for the lots indicated on the sketch accompanying your application. (The location of these facilities must be accurately indicated on the sketch.)

Please indicate if water and sewer services are existing or proposed by entering the initial as follows:
$\mathbf{E}$ - for Existing or $\mathbf{P}$ - for Proposed in the appropriate box.

| TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dugout |  |  |  |
| Well |  |  |  |
| Cistern \& Hauling |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service |  |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) | Hauling water |  |  |


| TYPE OF SEWAGE DISPOSAL | 1 $^{\text {st }}$ Parcel | 2 $^{\text {nd }}$ Parcel | Balance of Quarter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Open Discharge/Pump-out |  |  |  |
| Septic Tank/Holding Tank |  |  |  |
| Tile Field/Evaporation Mound |  |  |  |
| Sewage Lagoon |  |  |  |
| Municipal Service |  |  |  |
| Other (Please specify) | Outhouse |  |  |

## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

N.W. 1/4 Sec.16, Twp.75, Rge.24, W.5M

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.16, Twp.75, Rge.24, W.5M.
(For Farmyard Separation)
Within

Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta


Address: Not Available

## $\frac{\text { BORDERLINE }}{\text { SUR VYEYS }}$

\#103-2, 830199 Street Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B1 Phone: (780) 538-1955 E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com


## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 16, Twp.75, Rge.24, W.5M.
(For Farmyard Separation)
Within
Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta
300.00

## Proposed Lot 1 <br> Proposed Lot 1 <br> SW. \% Sect <br> 



## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec.16, Twp.75, Rge.24, W.5M.
(For Farmyard Separation)
Within
Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta


## BGRDERLINE SURVジVYS

\#103-2, 830199 Street Clairmont, Alberta T8X 5B1 Phone: (780) 538-1955


PREPARED BY Jason Coates, A.L.S.



## TENTATIVE PLAN SHOWING

PROPOSED SUBDIVISION OF
S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 16, Twp.75, Rge.24, W.5M. (For Farmyard Separation) Within
Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, Alberta


## BGRDERLINE 

\#103-2, 830199 Street lairmont, Alberta T8X 5B Phone: (780) 538-1955 E-mail: jwc.surveyor@gmail.com

# SURVEYORS' 

PREPARED BY Jason Coates, A.L.S.


### 8.0 LAND USE DISTRICTS

### 8.1 Agricultural One (A-1) District

### 8.1.1 Purpose

a) The purpose of this District is to protect and preserve better agricultural lands. The uses allowed in this District are those which may be compatible with extensive agricultural operations, and which minimize the loss of agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses.

### 8.1.2 Uses

a) Table 8-1 identifies the permitted and discretionary uses within the A-1 District.

Table 8-1: A-1 Permitted and Discretionary Uses

| Permitted Uses | Discretionary Uses |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Accessory Building | 1.a Abattoir |
| 2. Agricultural Processing | 2.a Airstrip |
| 3. Agriculture, Horticulture | 3.a Compressor |
| 4. Animal Breeding Establishment | 4.a Coverall Building |
| 5. Apiary | 5.a Craft Brewery and Distillery |
| 6. Bed and Breakfast | 6.a Home Occupation, Major |
| 7. Boarding and Lodging | 7.a Natural Resource Extraction |
| 8. Borrow Pit | 8.a Oil and Gas Facility |
| 9. Cabin | 9.a Recreation, Outdoor Motorized Vehicle |
| 10. Cannabis Production Facility | 10.a Recreation, Outdoor Passive |
| 11. Dugout | 11.a Recreational Vehicle Storage |
| 12. Dwelling Unit, Accessory | 12.a Solar Collector, Major |
| 13. Dwelling Unit, Manufactured | 13.a Utilities, Major |
| 14. Dwelling Unit, Modular | 14.a Wind Energy Conversion System, Major |
| 15. Dwelling Unit, Single Detached | 15.a Work Camp, Project Oriented |
| 16. Greenhouse |  |
| 17. Home Occupation, Minor |  |
| 18. Housing Collective, Communal |  |
| 19. Kennel, Commercial |  |
| 20. Kennel, Hobby |  |
| 21. Sign |  |
| 22. Solar Collector, Minor |  |
| 23. Storage, Outdoor |  |
| 24. Suite, Attached |  |
| 25. Suite, Detached |  |
| 26. Wind Energy Conversion System, Minor |  |

### 8.1.3 Regulations

a) On a parcel located in an A-1 District, no building or structure shall be constructed, located or altered, and no subdivision approved which contravenes the regulations set out in Table 8-2.

Table 8-2: A-1 District Regulations

| Matter to Be Regulated | Regulation |
| :---: | :---: |
| . 1 Maximum density | A maximum of 4 dwelling units per parcel, which may include: <br> - a maximum of 2 primary dwelling units <br> - a maximum of 3 accessory dwelling units and/or suites |
| . 2 Minimum parcel size | 1.2 ha (3 ac) |
| . 3 Minimum parcel width | 100 m ( 328.1 ft ) |
| . 4 Minimum setback of principal building from: <br> - Front parcel and exterior side parcel lines <br> - Interior side parcel line <br> - Rear parcel line | Provincial highway: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) Internal subdivision road: 7.5 m ( 24.6 ft .) <br> Service road: 7.5 m ( 24.6 ft .) <br> All other roads: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) <br> Undeveloped road allowance: 40.0 m ( 131.2 ft .) <br> 15.0 m (49.2 ft.) <br> 15.0 m ( 49.2 ft .) |
| .5 Minimum setback of accessory building from: <br> - Front parcel and exterior side parcel lines <br> - Interior side parcel line <br> - Rear parcel line | ```Provincial highway: 40.0 m (131.2 ft.) Internal subdivision road: 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) Service road: }7.5\textrm{m}\mathrm{ (24.6 ft.) All other roads: 40.0 m (131.2 ft.) 15.0 m (49.2 f.) 15.0 m (49.2 ft.)``` |
| . 6 Maximum building and structure height <br> - Principal building and structures <br> - Accessory building | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \mathrm{~m}(32.8 \mathrm{ft} .) \\ & 10.0 \mathrm{~m}(32.8 \mathrm{ft} .) \end{aligned}$ |
| . 7 Maximum parcel coverage (all buildings) | 30 \% |

Figure 8-1: Examples of A-1 Dwelling Unit Configurations


### 8.1.4 Other Regulations

a) All applications for confined feeding operations must be submitted to the Natural Resources Conservation Board for review and approval in accordance with the Agricultural Operation Practices Act;
b) Only one A-1 parcel may be taken out of an unsubdivided quarter section without rezoning.Work Camp, Project-Oriented - Only within 400.00 m (1,312.3 ft.) of highways;
c) First Parcel Out: Minimum: 1.2 ha ( 3.0 ac )

Maximum: 8.1 ha (20.0 ac)
d) An application to create two titles based upon a fragmented parcel may be approved notwithstanding the size of parcels to be created on either side of the fragmentation line with the following conditions:
i. Minimum parcel sizes and other development considerations must be adhered to;
ii. Parcels fragmented by water bodies or ravines would still be subject to environmental reserve evaluation and dedication.
e) Agricultural parcels that are fragmented will be prorated by the size of the parcel to determine the number and size of parcels that are to qualify for subdivision from each fragmented portion as follows:
i. The amount of land that may be subdivided from a fragmented parcel will be directly proportionate to its percentage of the quarter section.
*** See the General Regulations (Section 5.0) for additional regulations and exceptions. ***
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| LEGEND |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| M.D. of Greenview No. 16 makes no representations or warranties regarding the information contained in this document, including, <br> without limitation, whether said information is accurate or complete. Persons using this document do so solely at their own risk, and <br> M.D. of Greenview No. 16 shall have no liability to such persons for any loss or damage whatsoever. <br> This document shall not be copied or distributed to any person without the express written consent of M.D. of Greenview No. 16. © 2024 Municipal District of Greenview No. 16. All Rights Reserved. NOT RESPONIIBLE FOR ERRORS OR OMISIONS | 0 500 1,000 <br> metres   |
| Map Print Date : March 07, 2024 |  |
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## LEGEND

| $\square$ Proposed Subdivision | TRANSPORTATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wetland Inventory | $-\quad$ Fair-Weather |
| $\square$ Bog | $-\quad$ Gravel Road |
| $\square$ Marsh | HYDRO FEATURES |
| $\square$ Open Water | $\square$ Lake / River |
| $\square$ Swamp | Stream / Creek |



## SITE INSPECTION PHOTOS

S24-004 (Morrison)

Date of Inspection: April 2, 2024

a. Existing Approach to the proposed subdivision on SW16-75-24-W5M, along a Private Resource Road

b. Existing Approach to the balance of the quarter section SW16-75-24-W5M, along Township Road 752

c. View of the Quarter Section along Township Road 752

## REQUEST FOR DECISION

| SUBJECT: | D24-024 Permitted Use in M-2; Accessory Building with Variances |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBMISSION TO: | MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION | REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION |  |  |  |
| MEETING DATE: | April 10, 2024 | DIRECTOR: | MAV | PRESENTER: |  |
| REPORT TYPE: | Development Permit | MANAGER: |  | WRITER: | NF |
| FILE NO.: | D24-024 LAND | LAND USE DISTRICT: Industrial General (M-2) |  |  |  |
| LEGAL LOCATION: | Plan 082 2151; 1; 2 |  |  |  |  |
| AREA: | Ward 6, DeBolt |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANT: | VerdeChem Technologies Inc. |  |  |  |  |
| LANDOWNER: | VerdeChem Technologies Inc. |  |  |  |  |

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Administration has received a development permit application for an accessory building, a new storage building and wash bay, on Plan 082 2151, Block 1, Lot 2 . The subject property is located approximately 4.5 km west of the Hamlet of DeBolt, north of Highway 43. Accessory Buildings are permitted within all districts however, this application requires a variance to Section 5.22.1.e due to the placement in relation to the principal building on the lot as well as a variance to the maximum Accessory Building height allowed within the Industrial General (M-2) district.

The accessory building is proposed to be located within the front yard as defined by Land Use Bylaw 18-800, therefore requiring a variance to Section 5.22.1.e "An accessory building should not be located in the front yard." The lot contains several developments including manufactured offices (2), a shop, sheds, and tank farms within the fenced yard. Administration does not have concerns with the proposed building location as it will meet the minimum setback requirement of the $\mathrm{M}-2$ district and will be screened from the adjacent lots by the existing chain-link fence.

The accessory building is proposed to be constructed to a height of $8.57 \mathrm{~m}(28.12 \mathrm{ft})$ from grade which exceeds the maximum accessory building height permitted in the $\mathrm{M}-2$ district of 5.0 m ( 16.4 ft ). The applicants are requesting a taller building size to accommodate 16 ft overhead doors required for heavy equipment using the wash bay and storage building. Administration does not have concerns with the request as the building size is characteristic of industrial buildings.

## PROPERTY DETAILS:

Proposed Servicing:
Soil Type:
Topography:
Wetland Inventory: LSRS Spring Grains:

Private; cistern and holding tanks
Clay, Sandy Clay
Flat
32; some swamp and bog within section
$3(7)-6 W(3)$; moderate limitation and extremely severe limitation due to drainage

RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

## Land Use Bylaw 18-800

### 3.8 Variances

3.8.3 The Municipal Planning Commission may approve a variance of the regulations and standards stated in the Bylaw provided the intent of the Bylaw are met.

The proposed accessory building is permitted within the district and will be contained within the parcel; therefore, the intent of the bylaw is met.

### 5.22 Accessory Buildings, Structures and Uses

5.22.1 Accessory buildings and structures are permitted in all Districts provided they comply with the following regulations:
e) An accessory building should not be located within the front yard;

Administration brought the clarity issue of section 5.22.1.e) "accessory buildings should not be located in the font yard" to the Municipal Planning Commission at the November 15, 2023, meeting where the motion was made to correct the section in the new Land Use Bylaw rather than amending Land Use Bylaw 18-800. To comply with Land Use Bylaw 18-800 as it is written today, applications which do not meet section 5.22.1.e), whether intended as mandatory or optional, should be approved with a variance to the section.

### 8.16 Industrial General (M-2) District

8.16.3 Regulations
8.16.3.7 Maximum building and structure height

- Principal building and structures 10.0 m (32.8 ft.)
- Accessory building

$$
5.0 \mathrm{~m} \text { (16.4 ft.) }
$$

### 9.0 Definitions

Yard, Front means part of a parcel lying between the front parcel line and the front of the principal building and extended across the full width of the parcel, as shown in Figure 9-11.

Figure 9-11: Illustration of Yards


The definition of Yard, Front applies to all parcels regardless of size or land use district, however, it is common on agricultural and larger commercial or industrial parcels for accessory buildings to be located closer to the road than the principal structure.

## RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

MOTION: That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-024 for an Accessory Building on Plan 082 2151; 1; 2, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a variance is granted to Land Use Bylaw 18-800 Section 5.22.1.e) allowing the Accessory Building to be located within the Yard, Front.
2. That a $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ variance is granted to the 5.0 metre ( 16.4 feet) maximum building height, allowing the Accessory Building (Equipment Storage and Wash Bay) to be 8.57 metres ( 28.12 feet) in height.
3. Prior to operation of the Wash Bay, the applicant shall provide proof of installation of a septic tank and containment system to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16.
4. Grading of the site shall be completed in accordance with the approved site grade plan prepared by the developer.
5. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing and Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta. Copies of all required permits are to be provided to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16's Planning \& Development department at planning@mdgreenview.ab.ca.
6. The Accessory Building shall be located no closer than 1.5 m ( 4.9 ft ) from another building.
7. The applicant shall obtain a roadside development permit from Alberta Transportation \& Economic Corridors and submit a copy to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16, prior to operation of the facility.

## Standards:

1. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.
2. The exterior of the building shall be completed within twenty-four (24) months from the issuance of the development permit. If the development authorized by a Development Permit is not completed within twenty-four (24) months from the effective date of the permit, such permit approval ceases and the permit itself is deemed void, expired, and without effect, unless an extension to this period has been previously granted.
3. Stripping of vegetation or grading shall be done in a manner which will minimize soil erosion by ensuring the extent of the disturbed area and the duration of exposure is minimal.
4. Deleterious materials must not be allowed to enter any watercourse.

Advisory Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes,
amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. This development permit is valid upon the decision being advertised in accordance with Greenview's Advertising Bylaw and no appeal against said decision being successful.
4. You are located in the vicinity of an agricultural operation.

## ALTERNATIVES:

Alternative \#1: The Municipal Planning Commission may refuse the application, a reason for refusal must be stated. Administration does not recommend this option as the proposal complies with the requirements of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 as a permitted use. Additionally, Section 5.22.1.e) being a "should" statement is unlikely to hold up in the event of an appeal, therefore it should not be used as grounds for refusal.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

All costs associated with the application will be borne by the applicant.

## STAFFING IMPLICATION:

There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.
The Municipal Government Act allows applications for variances or discretionary use development permits to be appealed by affected parties up to 21 days following the issuance of the decision.

## FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

No follow-up action is required by the Municipal Planning Commission. Following approval, the applicant may proceed with the development as stated in the application and meet the conditions listed on the approval.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- Development Permit Application
- Variance Request Form
- Site Grading Plan
- Building Plans
- Aerial Map
- AGRASID Map
- Wetland Inventory Map
- Topography Map
- Owner Location Map



## APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

Municipal District of Greenview No. 16
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO
T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608 www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

## MUNICIPAL DISTRICT

OF GREENVIEW No. 16
RECEIVED
FEBRUARY 12, 2024
VALLEYVIEW
I/ We understand that this application will only be processed if submitted in complete form and accompanied by the applicable fee. A completed application includes the forms completely filled out, signatures, plot plan, fees and any other information the development authority deems necessary to make an informed decision.

| Applicant Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name of Applicant(s): VerdeChem | Inc. |
| Mailing Address: | City: Postal Code |
| Primary Phone: | Other Phone: |
| Email: | (By providing email address you authorize Planning and Development Services to contact you via email) |
| (Complete if different from applicant) <br> Registered Landowner(s) or Leaseholder(s) |  |
| Mailing Address: | City: Postal Code: |
| Primary Phone: | Other Phone: |
| Email: | (By providing email address you authorize Planning and Development Services to contact you via email) |




## APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

Municipal District of Greenview No. 16

4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3N0
T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca


## Abandoned Well Information

ALL development permit applications require a printout of a map from Alberta Energy Regulator (AER, previously known as ERCB). This can be obtained via website, phone, email, fax or mail.
Is there an abandoned well or pipelineYes
No (printout must still be provided) on the property? Licensee name: $\qquad$

If you require any assistance or do not have access to the internet please contact AER at 1.855.297.8311
The location of all abandoned oil and gas well sites as well as the setback distances in relation to existing or proposed building sites must be shown on all applications. Please note: The Development Authority cannot approve a development application if the lot(s) do(es) not comply with the setback directed by the ERCB Directive 079. Abandoned well site information must be provided by the applicant and can be obtained by contacting Alberta Energy Regulator.

## VARIANCE REQUEST



Municipal District of Greenview No. 16
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO T 780.524.7600 F780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608 www.mdgreenview.ab.ca
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE

| APPLICATION NO. |
| :--- |
| D24-024 |
| DATE RECEIVED |
| FEBRUARY 12,2024 |
| ROLL \# |

Applicant Information
Name of Applicants): VerdeChem Technologies Inc.
Mailing Address:
Primary Phone:
City:
Email: . (By providing email address you authorize Planning and
(Complete if different from application) Development Services to contact you via email) Registered Landowner(s) or Leaseholders): $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ City: $\qquad$ Postal Code: $\qquad$
Primary Phone: $\qquad$ Other Phone: $\qquad$
Email: $\qquad$ (By providing email address you authorize Planning and Development Services to contact you via email)

Land Information

Legal description of proposed development site:
LSD/QtR. SW SEC. 09 Twp. 12 RE. 01 m.W6 Registered plan: Block 0822151 I

## Variances Requested

List variances requested. Each variance should also be marked on the site drawing.

1. Maximum hoight of binding is $16.4^{\prime}$. (feet) Our proposed building height is $28.2^{\prime}$ (feet)
2. 
3. 

Provide a written rationale for each variance being requested. The written rationale should indicate the reasons for the variances) being requested and why current regulations cannot be accommodated. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

- We need a building toil enough to have 16 Soot doors.
..
$\qquad$
$-$
.1


## Declaration

## I/We HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS, TO THE BEST OF MY/OUR KNOWLEDGE, FACTUAL AND CORRECT.

Signature of Applicant



## Signature of Registered Landowners)

[^2]

## －NOTES

## －RENDERING

ELEVATIONS－LEFT \＆RIGHT
－ELEVATIONS－FRONT \＆BACK
5 －MAIN FLOOR PLAN
－FOUNDATION PLAN
7 －ROOF PLAN
8 －DETAILS
9 －WINDOW \＆DOOR FRAMING 1 POSTS

GROUP F DIVISION 3 LOW HAZARD INDUSTRIAL OCCUPANCY
－ALL DRAWINGS，DIMENSIONS，DETAILS \＆NOTES
ARE TO BE REVIENED BY THE APPLICABLE CONTRACTOR／
SUB－CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION．
DISCREPANCIES，ERRORS，OR OMISSIONS ARE TO BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY．SHOULD THESE CONDITIONS
－SALES CONTRACT \＆SPECIFICATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER DRAWINGS．
－GRADES ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY．FINAL GRADES AS PER SITE PLAN AND SITE CONDITIONS
－WORKING DRANINGS ARE A GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION ONLY ACTUAL PRODUCTS \＆MATERIALS MAY VARY FROM DEPICTION ON DRAWINGS
－WINDOW SIZES ARE APPROXIMATE AND MAY VARY FROM MANUFACTURER TO MANUFACTURER．TOP OF NINDOWTO
MATCH TOP OF MAN DOOR HEIGHT UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTES
UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE，ALL FRAMING LUMBER FOR BEAMS，
JOISTS，AND TRUSSES SHALL BE S．P．F．No． $1 / \mathrm{No} 0.2$ OR BETTER
－TRUSS SUPPLIER SHALL SUBMIT ENGINEERED SHOP DRAWINGS BEARING THE SEAL OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERIN THE PROJECT PROVINCE COVERING THE DESIGN OF THE

NAILS，SPIKES，AND STAPLES
－ALL WORK WITH PWF WOOD SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH CSA STANDARD CSA S406 AND CSA 086．ALL CUT ENDS HALL HAVE PRESERVATIVE APPLIED AS PER MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS．
－DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS
ALL WORK MUST CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE ALBERTA BUILDING CODE 2019，NATIONAL PLUMBING CODE， LATESTEDITION OF THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE AS WE AS
THEIR ADDENDA AND REFERENCED STANDARDS
－NO ALTERATIONS，CHANGES，OR SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE MADE
UNLESS AUTHORIZED IN WRITING BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER
－LOADING：
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { LIVE：} & 1.0 \mathrm{kPa} \text {（ROOF）} \\ \text { SNOW：} & 2.2 \mathrm{kPa}(\mathrm{SS}, \text { ground snow load）} \\ & 0.1 \mathrm{kPa}(\mathrm{Sr} \text { associated rain load）} \\ \text { WIND：} & 0.43 \mathrm{kPa}(1 / 1 / 00 \text { wind pressure }) \\ \text { SEISMIC：} & \mathrm{Sa}(0)=0.141\end{array}$
SEISMIC：$\quad$ Sa（0．2）$=0.141$
DEFLECTION： $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sa}(18.0)=0.026 \\ & \text {（flexible finishes）}\end{aligned}$
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## LEGEND

Subject Property
GV Imagery 2023Proposed Equipment Building
TRANSPORTATION
——Gravel Road

## HYDRO FEATURES

\author{

- Stream / Creek
}
M.D. of Greenview No. 16 shall have no liability to such persons for any loss or damage whatsoever.
This document shall not be copied or distributed to any person without the express written consent of M.D. of Greenview No. 16. © 2024 Municipal District of Greenview No. 16. All Rights Reserved. NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ERRORS OR OMISSIONS



## MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16

Development Permit D24-024
Plan 0822151, Block 1, Lot 2
AGRASID


## LEGEND
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MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16
Development Permit D24-024
Plan 0822151, Block 1, Lot 2 Wetland


## LEGEND

| $\square$ Proposed Equipment Building | TRANSPORTATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject Property | $-=$ Paved Highway |
| Wetland Inventory | $-\quad$ Gravel Road |
| $\square$ Bog | HYDRO FEATURES |
| $\square$ Fen | $\square$ Lake / River |
| $\square$ Marsh | $-\quad$ Stream / Creek |
| $\square$ Open Water |  |
| $\square$ Swamp |  |
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MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16
Development Permit D24-024
Plan 0822151, Block 1, Lot 2
Topography
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## REQUEST FOR DECISION

| SUBJECT: | D24-041 Permitted Use in CR-3; Accessory Building in Front Yard |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SUBMISSION TO: | MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION | REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION |  |
| MEETING DATE: | April 10, 2024 | DIRECTOR: | MAV |
| REPORT TYPE: | Development Permit | MANAGER: | WRITER: NF |

FILE NO.:
LEGAL LOCATION
AREA:
APPLICANT/SURVEYOR: LANDOWNER:

D24-041
Plan 3978KS; 1; 4
LAND USE DISTRICT:
Country Residential Three (CR-3)

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Administration has received an application for the development of a Cabin, Accessory Building, and fence on the lot legally described as Plan 3978 KS , Block 1, Lot 4. The subject property is located approximately 20 kms northwest of the Town of Valleyview, within the Sandy Bay recreational development.

The subject lot was devastated by the Sturgeon Lake/Little Puskwaskau wildfires in May of 2023 where all structures were lost. The applicant plans to rebuild the cabin and fence and add a new garage to the lot which requires a variance due to the location being within the front yard. The applicant is requesting the side yard setback be varied by $7 \%$ or 0.15 metres ( 0.5 feet) from the minimum required $3.0 \mathrm{~m}(9.8 \mathrm{ft}$ ) to allow the cabin to be located $2.74 \mathrm{~m}(9 \mathrm{ft})$ from the east property line. The subject lot is pie-shaped and smaller than the minimum parcel size permitted in the Country Residential Three (CR-3) district, making placement of a garage behind the principal building difficult, therefore the applicant is requesting a variance to allow the garage in the front yard while still meeting the minimum setbacks required in the district.

The applicant would also like to build a $1.8 \mathrm{~m}(6 \mathrm{ft})$ fence around the lot, from the new structures to the rear property line. Although a fence does not typically require a development permit for construction, the height exceeds the maximum allowed within front yard of residential districts, therefore requiring a variance.

## PROPERTY DETAILS:

Proposed Servicing:
Soil Type:
Topography:
Wetland Inventory:
LSRS Spring Grains:

Private, well and septic holding tank
Clay, Sandy Clay
Flat
Fen
3(8) - 6W(2); Moderate limitation, Extremely severe limitation due to drainage

RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

## Land Use Bylaw 18-800

3.3 Permits Not Required
3.3.1 The following development and uses shall not require a development permit provided they conform to all provisions of this Bylaw:
g) The construction and maintenance of gates, fences, walls or other means of enclosure less than 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) in height;

The proposed fence height of $1.8 \mathrm{~m}(6 \mathrm{ft})$ exceeds the maximum height where a development permit is not required, therefore the fence has been included in the development permit application, also requiring a variance to the maximum height in front yards (Section 5.18.3).

### 3.8 Variances

3.8.2 In the case of permitted uses, should an appropriate case be made, the Development Officer may allow a variance not exceeding $10 \%$ to any regulations.
3.8.3 The Municipal Planning Commission may approve a variance of the regulations and standards stated in the Bylaw provided the intent of the Bylaw are met.

The variance requested represents a $50 \%$ relaxation of the required maximum fence height in front yards, therefore requiring MPC consideration. The proposed uses are permitted within the district and will be contained within the parcel; therefore, the intent of the bylaw is met.
5.18.1 No barbed wire, single strand or high tensile wire fences are allowed in CR-2, CR-3 and HR Districts. 5.18.3 Fences along interior and rear yard parcel lines (where not adjacent to a highway) in Residential Districts shall not exceed a height of 2.0 m ( 6.6 ft .).
5.18.4 Fences in the front yard in the CR-1, CR-2, CR-3 and HR Districts shall not exceed a height of 1.2 m (3.9 ft.).

### 5.22 Accessory Buildings, Structures and Uses

5.22.1 Accessory buildings and structures are permitted in all Districts provided they comply with the following regulations:
e) An accessory building should not be located within the front yard;

Administration brought the clarity issue of section 5.22.1.e) "accessory buildings should not be located in the font yard" to the Municipal Planning Commission at the November 15, 2023, meeting where the motion was made to correct the section in the new Land Use Bylaw rather than amending Land Use Bylaw 18-800. To comply with Land Use Bylaw 18-800 as it is written today, applications which do not meet section 5.22.1.e), whether intended as mandatory or optional, should be approved with a variance to the section.

### 8.6 Country Residential Three (CR-3) District

Table 8-13: CR-3 District Regulations
. 2 Minimum parcel size 0.2 ha ( 0.5 ac )
. 3 Maximum parcel size 4.0 ha ( 9.9 ac )
. 8 Maximum parcel coverage (all buildings)
The subject parcel is smaller than the minimum parcel size at approximately $1,145 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(12,329 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ or 0.11 ha ( 0.28 ac ), therefore size constraints may support the setback variance request. The total area of all buildings will be approximately $127.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(1,376 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ covering approximately $11 \%$ of the lot area.

### 9.0 Definitions

Yard, Front means part of a parcel lying between the front parcel line and the front of the principal building and extended across the full width of the parcel, as shown in Figure 9-11.

Figure 9-11: Illustration of Yards


The definition of Yard, Front applies to all parcels regardless of size or land use district, however, the accessory building is proposed to be located $16.45 \mathrm{~m}(54 \mathrm{ft})$ from the front parcel line therefore complying with the minimum setback requirements of the CR-3 district.

## Sturgeon Lake Area Structure Plan (SLASP) Bylaw 20-865

8.2 Servicing
8.2.1 Greenview shall require all developments to provide holding tanks or composting toilets for sewage disposal for:
a. New residential development or subdivision located within the Development Area,
b. New development or subdivision, including vacant first parcels out and the resubdivision of existing lots in the ASP area but outside a Development Area if the proposed sewage system is located within 91 m (300 ft) of a permanent watercourse that drains to the lake.
It is intended that all holding tanks be installed at locations that are easily accessible for a vacuum truck and are to be pumped out regularly for disposal at a licensed facility.
8.2.6 In the case of existing development, Greenview encourages the upgrading of existing sewage facilities. To this end, as existing developments require development permits to renovate, reconstruct or enlarge, such permits shall be approved with a condition requiring that a sewage holding tank be installed in accordance with Policy 8.2.1.

The applicants plan to install a sewage holding tank to service the cabin which complies with the requirements of the SLASP for redevelopment in the plan area.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

MOTION: That the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE development permit application D24-041 for a Cabin with Deck, Accessory Building (Garage), \& Fence on Plan 3978KS; 1; 4, subject to the following conditions:

1. That a variance is granted to Land Use Bylaw 18-800 Section 5.22.1.e) allowing the Accessory Building to be located within the Yard, Front.
2. That a $7 \%$ variance is granted to the 3.0 metre ( 9.8 feet) east side yard setback requirement, allowing the Cabin to be located 2.74 metres ( 9.0 feet) from the east property line.
3. That a $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ variance is granted to the 1.2 metre ( 3.9 feet) maximum fence height, allowing the fence to be 1.8 metres ( 6.0 feet) in height in the Yard, Front.
4. The Accessory Building shall be located no closer than $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft})$ from the Cabin or another Accessory Building.
5. The applicant is required to install a holding tank to service the proposed development. The landowner is responsible for regular evacuation, cleaning, and maintenance of the tank which must meet the tank and installation requirements of the Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standards of Practice.

## Standards:

1. The applicant is responsible for weed control. Contact Greenview's Agricultural Fieldman at 780.524.7602 for further information.

## Advisory Notes:

1. This permit indicates that only the development to which it relates is authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw. Compliance with the provisions of Land Use Bylaw 18-800 does not exempt the applicant from compliance with any provincial, federal, or other municipal legislation.
2. All development must conform to the conditions of this development permit and the approved plans, and any revisions as required pursuant to this approval. Any subsequent changes, amendments, or additions to this development permit shall require a new development permit application, including but not limited to, an expansion or intensification of the use.
3. Prior to construction or commencement of any development it is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure they obtain all necessary permits required by Alberta Safety Codes Authority, including but not limited to Building, Gas, Plumbing, and Electrical permits, in accordance with the Safety Codes Act of Alberta. Copies of such permits are to be provided to the Municipal District of Greenview No. 16.
4. This development permit is valid upon the decision being advertised in accordance with Greenview's Advertising Bylaw and no appeal against said decision being successful.

## ALTERNATIVES:

Alternative \#1: The Municipal Planning Commission may refuse the application, a reason for refusal must be stated. Administration does not recommend this option as the proposal complies with the requirements of the Land Use Bylaw and Sturgeon Lake Area Structure Plan.

Alternative \#2: The Municipal Planning Commission may table the application to the next regular meeting or until additional information is received. Administration does not recommend this option as the applicant has provided all information required to provide a decision.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

All costs associated with the application will be borne by the applicant.

## STAFFING IMPLICATION:

There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.

The Municipal Government Act allows applications for discretionary use development permits to be appealed by affected parties up to 21 days following the issuance of the decision.

## FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

No follow-up action is required by the Municipal Planning Commission. Following approval, the applicant may proceed with the development as stated in the application and meet the conditions listed on the approval.

## ATTACHMENTS:

- Development Permit Application
- Variance Request Form
- Site Plan
- Aerial Map
- AGRASID Map
- Wetland Inventory Map
- Topography Map
- Owner Location Map

1/ We understand that this application will only be processed if submitted in complete form and accompanied by the applicable fee. A completed application includes the forms completely filled out, signatures, plot plan, fees and any other information the development authority deems necessary to make an informed decision.



| FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE | -XPermitted use | $\square$ VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$ DISCRETIONARY USE | $\square$ PROHIBITED USE |
| ROL NO.: | APPLICATION NO.: D24-041 |  |
|  | DATE PAID: March 18, 2024 |  |
| RECEIPT NO.: 402554 | DEEMED COMPLETE: |  |
| LAND USE DISTRICT: CR-3 | PROPOSED USE: to replace a cabin, garage and fence after wildfire |  |
| COMMENTS: | Variance required for Acc Bldg - Garage to be in Frt Yard. |  |



# APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 

Municipal District of Greenview No. 16
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO
T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca

## Development Information



## Abandoned Well Information

ALL development permit applications require a printout of a map from Alberta Energy Regulator (AER, previously known as ERCB). This can be obtained via website, phone, email, fax or mail.
Is there an abandoned well or pipeline on the property?Yes
$\boxtimes$ No (printout must still be provided)
licensee name: $\qquad$
If you require any assistance or do not have access to the internet please contact AER at 1.855.297.8311
The location of all abandoned oil and gas well sites as well as the setback distances in relation to existing or proposed building sites must be shown on all applications. Please note: The Development Authority cannot approve a development application if the lot(s) do(es) not comply with the setback directed by the ERCB Directive 079. Abandoned well site information must be provided by the applicant and can be obtained by contacting Alberta Energy Regulator.

## APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

## Plot Plan

Please ensure the following are present on the plot plan for the proposed development: (see example on page 4)

| of Dimensions <br> Existing and proposed private roads ordriveways <br> D Natural features (trees, water runs, creeks, etc.) <br> Utility poles <br> Off-street parking and loading areas | Setback distances to existing buildings or structures (identify structures) <br> Access to development <br> slopes greater than $15 \%$ and distance toproposed development <br> Abandoned well sites <br> Septic tank/pump-out | Front, rear and side yard setbacks <br> Setback distances to public roads <br> Well or other water sources <br> Rights-of-ways or easements <br> Other relevant information(signage, outdoor storage, etc.) <br> Floor plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Legal Location: $\qquad$ $1 / 4$ of Sec $\qquad$ Twp. $\qquad$ Rge. $\qquad$ W or Registered Plan 3978KS Block 1 , Lot 4


## Declaration

1/we hereby declare that the information submitted is, to the best of my/our knowledge, factual and correct. I/we understand that by signing this declaration, I/we also give consent for an authorized person of MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16 to enter upon the land that is subject to a development permit application for the purposes of conducting a site inspection in order to evaluate the proposed development.

NOTE: If the applicant is not the registered landowner, the signature of the landowner(s) is required. All landowners MUST sign the application.
Signatures:



Registered Landowner(s)/Leaseholders
The personal information collected on this form is being collected under the authority of Sections 33 and 39(1)(a)(b) of the Alberta Freedom of information and Protection of Privacy Act, and Section 301.1 of the Municipal Government Act. The information will be used to process your application(s). Your name, contact telephone number and address may be used to carry out current and/or future construction, operating programs, services or activities of the Municipality. If you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of the personal information provided, please contact the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Coordinator at 780.524.7600.

## VARIANCE REQUEST



Municipal District of Greenview No. 16
4806-36 Avenue, Box 1079, Valleyview AB TOH 3NO
T 780.524.7600 F 780.524.4307 Toll Free 1.866.524.7608
www.mdgreenview.ab.ca
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE

| APPLICATION NO. |
| :--- |
| DATE RECEIVED |
| ROLL \# |

Applicant Information

| Name of Applican |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mailing Address: | City: Postal Code: |
| Primary Phone: | Other Phone: <br> (By providing email address you authorize Planning and Development Services to contact you via email) |
| Email: |  |
| (Complete if different from application) <br> Development Services to contact you via email) <br> Registered Landowner(s) or Leaseholder(s): |  |
|  |  |  |
| Mailing Address: Primary Phone | City: Postal Code: |
|  | Other Phone: |
| Email: | (By providing email address you authorize Planning and Development Services to contact you via email) |
|  |  |

## Land Information



## Variances Requested

List variances requested. Each variance should also be marked on the site drawing.
Garage being located in front of the main structure (cabin). I would likea variance to allow for garage to be in front of the cabin, the garage would still be $54^{\prime \prime}$ from the property line.
2. One corner of the cabin is $9^{\prime}$ from the property line, set back for main structure is $9^{\prime} 6{ }^{\prime \prime}$. I would like to request a 6 " variance for this.

I would like the front fence to be 6 ' high like the sides and back will be.
3.

Provide a written rationale for each variance being requested. The written rationale should indicate the reasons for the variance(s) being requested and why current regulations cannot be accommodated. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

1. Due to our lot being pie shaped it is not practicle to have the garage and cabin side by side (not enough room across the lot). The front of the garage will still be 54 ' from the front property line.
2. I would like to place the cabin where it is drawn on the application to make effective use of the lot space.
3. I would like to have the front of the fence the same height ( $6^{\prime}$ ) as on the sides and back of the lot. The closest part of the front fence to the front property line is only $6^{\prime}$ wide(between garage and property line) and would be 54' from the front of the lot, the other 15 feet or so of front fence (beside house) would be 87 ' from the front of the lot. The fence would be connected to the garage and the house and would look better being the same height as the rest of the fence (sides and back).

## Declaration

## I/We HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS, TO THE BEST OF MY/OUR KNOWLEDGE, FACTUAL AND CORRECT.


Main Road




## LEGEND

[-]
Subject Property
GV Imagery 2023

- Proposed cabin
[-] Proposed garage
ZIIA Deck


## TRANSPORTATION

__ Gravel Road




## LEGEND

Proposed cabin
Proposed garage
** Spring Grain LSRS Values Displayed

M.D. of Greenview No. 16 shall have no liability to such persons for any loss or damage whatsoever.

This document shall not be copied or distributed to any person without the express written consent of M.D. of Green
© 2024 Municipal District of Greenview No. 16. All Rights Reserved. NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ERRORS OR OMISSIONS
Map Print Date : April 04, 2024


MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16
Development Permit D24-041
Plan 3978KS, Block 1, Lot 4 Topography


## LEGEND

| Proposed cabin | TRANSPORTATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed garage | Gravel Road |
| Habject Property | HYDRO FEATURES |
| Contour Line(m) | Lake / River |
| $\square$ Minor Break | GV Imagery 2023 |
| $\square$ Major Break |  |

MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF GREENVIEW NO. 16
Development Permit D24-041
Plan 3978KS, Block 1, Lot 4
Location




[^0]:    ALTERNATIVES:
    Alternative \#1: The Municipal Planning Commission may refuse the application, a reason for refusal must be stated. Administration does not recommend this option as the proposal complies requirements of the Land Use Bylaw 799, Floyd McLennan Business Park Area Structure Plan, and Grande Cache Municipal Development Plan.

[^1]:    This report was prepared by Scheffer Andrew Ltd. ("SAL") for the benefit of the client to whom it is addressed. The information and data contained herein represent SAL's best professional judgement in light of the knowledge and information available to SAL at the time of preparation. SAL accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss or damage suffered by any third party arising from their use of, or reliance upon, this report or any of its contents without the express written consent of SAL and the client

[^2]:    The personal information collected on this form is being collected under the authority of Sections 33 and 39 (1)(a)(b) of the Alberta Freedom of
    Information and Protection of Privacy Act, and Section 301.1 of the Municipal Government Act. The information will be used to process your applications). Your name, contact telephone number and address may be used to carry out current and/or future construction, operating programs, services or activities of the Municipality. If you have any questions about the collection, use or disclosure of the personal information provided, please contact the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Coordinator at 780.524.7600.

[^3]:    CUSTOMER: VERDECHEM TECHNOLOGIES INC.
    PLAN \#: 2024 VERDE CHEM 54'X80'X18' SHOP
    ADDRESS: LOT 2 BLOCK 1 PLAN 082 2151- 1362 ADDRES
    HWY 43

